arXiv:1604.00155v1 [math.AP] 1 Apr 2016

Polyharmonic Kirchhoff type equations with singular exponential nonlinearities

Pawan Kumar Mishra[*], **Sarika Goyal**[†] and **K. Sreenadh**[‡]

Department of Mathematics,
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi
Hauz Khaz, New Delhi-16, India

Abstract

In this article, we study the existence of non-negative solutions of the following polyharmonic Kirchhoff type problem with critical singular exponential nolinearity

$$\begin{cases} -M\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla^m u|^{\frac{n}{m}} dx\right) \Delta^m_{\frac{n}{m}} u = \frac{f(x,u)}{|x|^\alpha} \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = \nabla u = \cdots = \nabla^{m-1} u = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary, $n \geq 2m \geq 2$ and $f(x,u)$ behaves like $e^{|u|^{\frac{n}{n-m}}}$ as $|u| \to \infty$. Using mountain pass structure and the concentration compactness principle, we show the existence of a nontrivial solution. In the later part of the paper, we also discuss the above problem with convex-concave type sign changing nonlinearity. Using the Nehari manifold technique, we show the existence and multiplicity of non-negative solutions.

Key words: Kirchhoff equation, Trudinger-Moser-Adams embedding, critical singular exponential growth.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J35, 35J60, 35J92

[*]email:pawanmishra31284@gmail.com,
[†]email: sarika1.iitd@gmail.com
[‡]e-mail: sreenadh@gmail.com

1 Introduction

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary, $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 2m \geq 2$. We consider the following polyharmonic Kirchhoff problem:

$$(\mathcal{P}) \quad \begin{cases} -M\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla^m u|^{\frac{n}{m}} dx\right) \Delta^m_{\frac{n}{m}} u &= \frac{f(x,u)}{|x|^\alpha} \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = \nabla u = \cdots \nabla^{m-1} u &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $M : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is a positive, continuous function satisfying $(m1) - (m4)$ stated below and $f : \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Caratheodory function with critical exponential growth satisfying assumptions $(f1) - (f5)$ as stated in section 2.
In section 3, we also consider the following problem with sign changing nonlinearity

$$(\mathcal{P}_\lambda) \quad \begin{cases} -M\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla^m u|^{\frac{n}{m}} dx\right) \Delta^m_{\frac{n}{m}} u &= \lambda h(x)|u|^{q-1}u + u|u|^p \ \frac{e^{|u|^\beta}}{|x|^\alpha} \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = \nabla u = \cdots = \nabla^{m-1} u &= 0 \qquad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $1 < q < \frac{n}{m} < \frac{2n}{m} < p + 2$, $0 < \alpha < n$, $\beta \in (1, \frac{n}{n-m}]$ and $\lambda > 0$. For $u \in C^m$, the symbol $\nabla^m u$, where m is a positive integer, denotes the m^{th} order gradient of u and is defined as,

$$\nabla^m u = \begin{cases} \nabla \Delta^{(m-1)/2} u & \text{if } m \text{ is odd}, \\ \Delta^{\frac{m}{2}} u & \text{if } m \text{ is even}, \end{cases}$$

where ∇ and Δ denote the usual gradient and Laplacian operator respectively. The polyharmonic operator $\Delta^m_{\frac{n}{m}} u$ is defined as

$$\Delta^m_{\frac{n}{m}} u := \begin{cases} -\nabla\{\Delta^{j-1}(|\nabla \Delta^{j-1}|^{\frac{n}{m}-2} \nabla \Delta^{j-1} u)\} & \text{if } \ m = 2j-1 \\ \Delta^j(|\Delta^j u|^{\frac{n}{m}-2} \Delta^j u) & \text{if } m = 2j \end{cases}$$

for $j = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$. We assume the following assumptions on $M(t)$:

$(m1)$ There exists $M_0 > 0$ such that $M(t) \geq M_0$ for all $t \geq 0$ and

$$\widehat{M}(t+s) \geq \widehat{M}(t) + \widehat{M}(s) \text{ for all } s, t \geq 0,$$

where $\widehat{M}(t) = \int_0^t M(s)ds$ is the primitive of M such that $\widehat{M}(0) = 0$.

$(m2)$ There exist constants a_1, $a_2 > 0$ and $t_0 > 0$ such that for some $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$

$$M(t) \leq a_1 + a_2 t^\sigma, \text{ for all } t \geq t_0.$$

$(m3)$ $\frac{M(t)}{t}$ is nonincreasing for $t > 0$.

The condition $(m1)$ is valid whenever $M(0) = M_0$ and M is nondecreasing. A typical example of a function M satisfying the conditions $(m1)-(m3)$ is $M(t) = M_0 + at$, where $a \geq 0$. From $(m3)$, we can easily deduce that

$$\frac{m}{n}\widehat{M}(t) - \frac{m}{2n}M(t)t \text{ is nondecreasing for } t \geq 0. \tag{1.1}$$

The above problems are called non-local because of the presence of the term $M\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla^m u|^{\frac{n}{m}} dx\right)$. Therefore the equation in $(\mathcal{P})$ and $(\mathcal{P}_\lambda)$ is no longer a pointwise identity. This phenomenon causes some mathematical difficulties which makes the study of such class of problem interesting. Basically, the presence of $\int_\Omega |\nabla^m u|^{\frac{n}{m}} dx$ as the coefficient of $\int_\Omega |\nabla^m u|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u \nabla^m \phi \, dx$ in the weak formulation requires the strong convergence while taking the limit to obtain the weak solution.

The critical exponent problems with exponential type nonlinearities, motivated by Moser-Trudinger inequality [30], in the limiting cases was initially studied by Adimurthi [2] and later by several authors [15, 31, 32, 28]. These problems with singular exponential growth nonlinearities for Laplacian and n-Laplacian was studied in [3], where an interpolation inequality of Hardy and Moser-Trudinger inequality (see [4] also) is proved for $W_0^{1,n}(\Omega)$. Subsequently, this inequality is generalized to higher order spaces in [26], known as singular Adam's-Moser inequality:

Theorem 1.1 *For $0 < \alpha < n$ and Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then for all $0 \leq \nu \leq \beta_\alpha = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{n}\right)\beta_{n,m}$, we have*

$$\sup_{u \in W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega), \|u\| \leq 1} \int_\Omega \frac{e^{\nu |u|^{\frac{n}{n-m}}}}{|x|^\alpha} dx < \infty, \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$\beta_{m,n} = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{w_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\pi^{n/2} 2^m \Gamma(\frac{m+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-m+1}{2})} \right)^{\frac{n}{n-m}} & \text{when } m \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{n}{w_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\pi^{n/2} 2^m \Gamma(\frac{m}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-m}{2})} \right)^{\frac{n}{n-m}} & \text{when } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

and $w_{n-1} =$ volume of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.

The above inequality is sharp in the sense that if $\nu > \beta_\alpha$, then the supremum in (1.2) is infinite. The embedding $W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega) \ni u \longmapsto \frac{e^{|u|^\beta}}{|x|^\alpha} \in L^1(\Omega)$ is compact for all $\beta \in (1, \beta_\alpha)$ and is continuous for $\beta = \beta_\alpha$. The non-compactness of the embedding can be shown using a sequence of functions that are obtained from the fundamental solution of $-\Delta_{\frac{n}{m}}^m$. The above embedding in case of the whole space $\mathbb{R}^n$ is studied in [25]. The existence results for quasi-linear polyharmonic problems with exponential terms on bounded domains is studied in [26].

The polyharmonic problems for critical exponents have been studied by many authors, see [17, 33, 22, 18] and references therein. In [33], authors have considered the following polyharmonic

problems with Sobolev critical exponent in a open ball of $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$(-\Delta)^K u = \lambda u + |u|^{s-1}u, \text{ in } B, \ u = Du = ...D^{K-1}u = 0 \text{ on } \partial B,$$

where $K-1 \in \mathbb{N}$, $s = \frac{n+2K}{n-2K}$ and $n > 2K$. Here authors have shown the existence of nontrivial radial solution for suitable range of λ. In [17], authors have studied the polyharmonic problems for Sobolev critical growth nonlinearity in a bounded domain and shown the existence of a nontrivial solution. The existence results for polyharmonic equations with exponential growth nonlinearity have also been discussed by many authors, see [24, 23, 20, 37] and references therein.

The boundary value problems involving Kirchhoff equations arise in several physical and biological systems. This type of non-local problems were initially observed by Kirchhoff in 1883 in the study of string or membrane vibrations to describe the transversal oscillations of a stretched string by taking into account the subsequent change in string length caused by oscillations. In the Laplacian case the problem of the above type arises from the theory of thin plates and describes the deflection of the middle surface of a p-power-like elastic isotropic flat plate of uniform thickness, with nonlocal flexural rigidity of the plate $M(\|u\|^p)$ depending continuously on $\|u\|^p$ of the deflection u and subject to nonlinear source forces.

The nonlocal Kirchhoff problems with Sobolev type critical nonlinearities is initially studied in [5]. In [5], authors considered the following critical Kirchhoff problem

$$-M(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx)\Delta u = \lambda f(x,u) + u^5 \text{ in } \Omega, \ \ u > 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary and f has subcritical growth at ∞. Using the mountain-pass lemma and compactness analysis of local Palais-Smale sequences authors showed the existence of solutions for large λ. Existence of positive solutions for p-Kirchhoff equations with super critical terms is studied in [12]. The critical Kirchhoff problem in bounded domains in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with exponential growth nonlinearity is studied in [16, 19].

The polyharmonic Kirchhoff problems for subcritical exponents are studied in [8]. In [8], authors have considered the following Kirchhoff type problem for higher dimensions

$$M(\|u\|^p)\Delta_p^K u = f(x,u) \text{ in } \Omega, \ \ D^\alpha u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$

for each multi-index α such that $|\alpha| \leq K-1$ with f having subcritical growth assumptions and studied existence of multiple solutions via three critical points theorem given in [11]. Here, authors have also extended the results to the $p(x)$-polyharmonic Kirchhoff problems.

The multiplicity of positive solutions for elliptic equations was initiated in [34] and later a global multiplicity result was obtained in [7]. Later, lot of works were devoted to address the multiplicity results for quasilinear elliptic problems with positive nonlinearities.

Also, many authors studied these multiplicity results with polynomial type nonlinearity with sign-changing weight functions using the Nehari manifold and fibering map analysis (see [34, 14, 35, 36, 6, 9]). In [10], authors studied the existence of multiple positive solution of Kirchhoff type problem with convex-concave polynomial type nonlinearities having subcritical growth by Nehari manifold and fibering map methods.

In the first part of the paper, we discuss the existence result for the polyharmonic Kirchhoff problem with nonlinearity $f(x,u)$ that has superlinear growth near zero and exponential growth near ∞. To prove our results, we study the first critical level and study the Palais-Smale sequences below this level. Using the concentration compactness principle we show the existence of a subsequence, of Palais-Smale sequences, for which $\{|\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k\}$ converges weakly in $L^{\frac{n}{n-m}}(\Omega)$. This combined with singular version of Lion's Lemma on higher integrability is used to show the existence of a nontrivial solution. In the later part of the paper, we discuss existence and multiplicity for sign changing nonlinearity using Nehari manifold and fibering map analysis. In the case $M \equiv 1$, $\alpha = 0$, in [21], authors have considered the critical exponent problem and proved the existence of non-trivial solution. They obtained the solution as weak limit of Palais-Smale sequence below the first critical level. But when $M \not\equiv 1$, weak convergence is not enough to obtain the solution. In order to overcome this, we study the concentration phenomena of Palais-Smale sequences to obtain a convergent subsequence.
We use the notation $|\nabla^m u|$ to denote the Euclidean length of the vector $\nabla^m u$. We will also use the notations $\|u\|_{\frac{n}{m}}$ and $\|u\|$ for the $L^{\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$ norms of u respectively. Also we have the notation $L^s(\Omega, |x|^{-\alpha}dx)$ for weighted Lebesgue spaces with measure $|x|^{-\alpha}dx$. The weak convergence is denoted by $\rightharpoonup$ and $\to$ denotes strong convergence.

2 Critical exponent problem with positive nonlinearity

In this section, we consider the problem

$$(\mathcal{P}) \quad \begin{cases} -M\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla^m u|^{\frac{n}{m}} dx\right) \Delta^m_{\frac{n}{m}} u &= \frac{f(x,u)}{|x|^\alpha} \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = \nabla u = ...\nabla^{m-1} u &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary and $n \geq 2m \geq 2, n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. The nonlinearity $f(x,t) = h(x,t)e^{|t|^{n/n-m}}$, where $h(x,t)$ satisfies

$(f1)$ $h \in C^1(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R})$, $h(x,0) = 0$, for all $t \leq 0$, $h(x,t) > 0$, for all $t > 0$.

$(f2)$ For any $\epsilon > 0$, $\lim\limits_{t\to\infty} \sup\limits_{x\in\overline{\Omega}} h(x,t)e^{-\epsilon|t|^{n/n-m}} = 0$, $\lim\limits_{t\to\infty} \inf\limits_{x\in\overline{\Omega}} h(x,t)e^{\epsilon|t|^{n/n-m}} = \infty$.

$(f3)$ There exist positive constants t_0, $K_0 > 0$ such that

$$F(x,t) \leq K_0 f(x,t) \text{ for all } (x,t) \in \Omega \times [t_0, +\infty),$$

where $F(x,t)=\int_0^t f(x,s)ds$ is the t-primitive of $f(x,t)$.

$(f4)$ For each $x\in\Omega$, $\dfrac{f(x,t)}{t^{\frac{2n-m}{m}}}$ is increasing for $t>0$ and $\lim\limits_{t\to 0^+}\dfrac{f(x,t)}{t^{\frac{2n-m}{m}}}=0$.

$(f5)$ $\lim\limits_{t\to\infty} th(x,t)=\infty$.

Assumption $(f3)$ implies that $F(x,t)\geq F(x,t_0)e^{K_0(t-t_0)}$, for all $(x,t)\in\Omega\times[t_0,\infty)$ which is a reasonable condition for function behaving as $e^{b|t|^{n/n-m}}$ at ∞. Moreover from $(f3)$ it follows that for each $\theta>0$, there exists $R_\theta>0$ satisfying

$$\theta F(x,t)\leq tf(x,t) \text{ for all } (x,t)\in\Omega\times[R_\theta,\infty). \tag{2.1}$$

We also have that condition $(f4)$ implies that for $\mu\in[0,\frac{2n-m}{m})$,

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+}\frac{f(x,t)}{t^\mu}=0, \text{ uniformly in } x\in\Omega. \tag{2.2}$$

In this section we define the variational setting for the problem and study the existence of mountain pass solution of $(\mathcal{P})$. Generally, the main difficulty encountered in non-local Kirchhoff problems is the competition between the growths of M and f. Here we generalize the result of [20] to the polyharmonic Kirchhoff equation with singular exponential growth using singular Adams-Moser inequality (1.2).

Definition 2.1 *We say that $u\in W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of $(\mathcal{P})$ if for all $\phi\in W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$, we have*

$$M\left(\int_\Omega|\nabla^m u|^{\frac{n}{m}}dx\right)\int_\Omega|\nabla^m u|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u\nabla^m\phi\ dx=\int_\Omega\frac{f(x,u)}{|x|^\alpha}\phi\ dx.$$

The energy functional associated with the problem $(\mathcal{P})$ is $\mathcal{J}:W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$\mathcal{J}(u)=\frac{m}{n}\widehat{M}\left(\int_\Omega|\nabla^m u|^{\frac{n}{m}}dx\right)-\int_\Omega\frac{F(x,u)}{|x|^\alpha}\ dx, \tag{2.3}$$

The functional $\mathcal{J}$ is differentiable on $W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$ and for all $\phi\in W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$,

$$\langle\mathcal{J}'(u),\phi\rangle=M\left(\int_\Omega|\nabla^m u|^{\frac{n}{m}}dx\right)\int_\Omega|\nabla^m u|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u\nabla^m\phi\ dx-\int_\Omega\frac{f(x,u)}{|x|^\alpha}\phi\ dx.$$

Now we state the existence result for the problem $(\mathcal{P})$ in the following Theorem.

Theorem 2.2 *If f satisfies $(m1)-(m5)$ and $(f1)-(f5)$ then the problem $(\mathcal{P})$ admits a nontrivial solution.*

We prove this Theorem by mountain pass Lemma. In the following Lemmas we study the mountain pass structure of the functional $\mathcal{J}$.

Lemma 2.3 *There exists $R_0>0$ and $\eta>0$ such that $\mathcal{J}(u)\geq\eta$ for all $\|u\|=R_0$.*

Proof. From the assumptions, $(f1)-(f3)$, for $\epsilon>0$, $r>\frac{n}{m}$, there exists $C>0$ such that

$$|F(x,t)|\leq \epsilon|t|^{\frac{n}{m}}+C|t|^r e^{|t|^{n/n-m}},\ \text{ for all } (x,t)\in\Omega\times\mathbb{R}.$$

Now, using continuous imbedding of $W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)\hookrightarrow L^s(\Omega;|x|^{-\alpha}dx)$ for all $s\in[1,\infty)$, we get

$$\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{\frac{n}{m}}}{|x|^\alpha}dx\leq C_1\|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}} \text{ for all } u\in W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}. \tag{2.4}$$

Similarly, using (2.4), we get

$$\int_\Omega |u|^r e^{|u|^{n/n-m}}|x|^{-\alpha}dx\leq C\left(\int_\Omega e^{p|u|^{n/n-m}}|x|^{-\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\leq C\left(\int_\Omega e^{p\left(\|u\|\frac{|u|}{\|u\|}\right)^{\frac{n}{n-m}}}|x|^{-\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Now choose $\|u\|=R_0>0$ such that $p\|u\|^{\frac{n}{n-m}}<\beta_\alpha$, and using Theorem 1.1, we get

$$\int_\Omega |u|^r e^{|u|^{n/n-m}}|x|^{-\alpha}dx\leq C_2\|u\|^r. \tag{2.5}$$

Also from (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$\int_\Omega \frac{F(x,u)}{|x|^\alpha}dx\leq \epsilon\int_\Omega |u|^{\frac{n}{m}}|x|^{-\alpha}dx+C\int_\Omega |u|^r e^{|u|^{n/n-1}}|x|^{-\alpha}dx\leq \epsilon C_1\|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}}+C_2\|u\|^r.$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{J}(u)\geq \|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}}\left(M_0\frac{m}{n}-\epsilon C_1-C_2\|u\|^{r-\frac{n}{m}}\right).$$

Since $r>\frac{n}{m}$, we can choose ϵ and R_0 such that $J(u)\geq\tau$ for some τ on $\|u\|=R_0$. □

Lemma 2.4 *There exists $e\in W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$ such that $\mathcal{J}(e)<0$.*

Proof. From equation (2.1), for $\theta>0$, there exist C_1, $C_2>0$ such that

$$F(x,t)\geq C_1t^\theta-C_2 \text{ for all } (x,t)\in\Omega\times[0,+\infty).$$

Now from assumption $(m2)$, for all $t\geq t_0$

$$\widehat{M}(t)\leq\begin{cases} a_0+a_1t+\frac{a_2}{\sigma+1}t^{\sigma+1} \text{ if } \sigma\neq-1,\\ b_0+a_1t+a_2\ln t \qquad \text{if } \sigma=-1,\end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

where $a_0=\widehat{M}(t_0)-a_1t_0-a_2\frac{t_0^{\sigma+1}}{(\sigma+1)}$ and $b_0=\widehat{M}(t_0)-a_1t_0-a_2\ln t_0$. Now, choose a function $\phi_0\in W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$ with $\phi_0\geq0$ and $\|\phi_0\|=1$. Then from (2.3) and (2.6), for all $t\geq t_0$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{J}(t\phi_0)\leq\begin{cases} \frac{m}{n}a_0+\frac{m}{n}a_1t^{\frac{n}{m}}+\frac{m}{n}\frac{a_2}{\sigma+1}t^{\frac{n}{m}(\sigma+1)}-C_1t^\theta\int_\Omega\frac{|\phi_0|^\theta}{|x|^\alpha}dx+C_2\int_\Omega\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha}dx, \text{ if } \sigma\neq-1,\\ \frac{m}{n}b_0+\frac{m}{n}a_1t^{\frac{n}{m}}+a_2\ln t-C_1t^\theta\int_\Omega\frac{|\phi_0|^\theta}{|x|^\alpha}dx+C_2\int_\Omega\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha}dx \qquad \text{if } \sigma=-1.\end{cases}$$

Now choose $\theta>\max\{\frac{n}{m},\frac{n}{m}(\sigma+1)\}$ we conclude that $\mathcal{J}(t\phi_0)\to-\infty$ as $t\to+\infty$. Therefore, there exists $e\in W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$ such that $\mathcal{J}(e)<0$. □

2.1 Analysis of Palais-Smale sequence

In this section we study the first critical level of $\mathcal{J}$ and study the convergence of Palais–Smale sequences below this level.

Lemma 2.5 *Every Palais-Smale sequence of $\mathcal{J}$ is bounded in $W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Let $\{u_k\} \subset W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$ be a Palais-Smale sequence for $\mathcal{J}$ at level c, that is

$$\frac{m}{n}\widehat{M}(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) - \int_\Omega \frac{F(x,u_k)}{|x|^\alpha}dx \to c \tag{2.7}$$

and for all $\phi \in W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$

$$\left| M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) \int_\Omega |\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k \nabla^m \phi \, dx - \int_\Omega \frac{f(x,u_k)}{|x|^\alpha}\phi \, dx \right| \le \epsilon_k \|\phi\|, \tag{2.8}$$

where $\epsilon_k \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. From (1.1), (2.1), (2.7) and (2.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c + \epsilon_k\|u_k\| &\ge \frac{m}{n}\widehat{M}(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) - \frac{1}{\theta}M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}})\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}} - \int_\Omega \frac{F(x,u_k) - \frac{1}{\theta}f(x,u_k)u_k}{|x|^\alpha}dx \\ &\ge \left(\frac{m}{2n} - \frac{1}{\theta}\right) M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}})\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}. \end{aligned}$$

From this and taking $\theta > \frac{2n}{m}$, we obtain the boundedness of the sequence. □

Now we construct a sequence of functions, using Adam's function [1]. Let $\mathbb{B}$ denote the unit ball $\mathbb{B}(0,1)$ with center 0 in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{B}_l := \mathbb{B}(0,l)$. We have the following results (see [23]). For all $l \in (0,1)$, there exists

$$U_l \in \{u \in W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\mathbb{B}) : u|_{\mathbb{B}_l} = 1\} \tag{2.9}$$

such that

$$\|U_l\|^{\frac{n}{m}} = C_{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\mathbb{B}_l;\mathbb{B}) \le \left(\frac{m\beta_\alpha}{n\log(\frac{1}{l})}\right)^{\frac{n-m}{m}},$$

where $C_{m,\frac{n}{m}}(K;E)$ denotes the $(m,\frac{n}{m})-$conductor capacity of K in E, whenever E is an open set and K a relatively compact subset of E. It is defined as

$$C_{m,\frac{n}{m}}(K;E) := \inf\left\{\|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}} : u \in C_0^\infty(E), u|_K = 1\right\}.$$

Now, let $x_0 \in \Omega$, $R \le R_0 = d(x_0,\partial\Omega)$, where d denotes the distance from x_0 to $\partial\Omega$. Then the Adams function $\tilde{A}_r$ is defined as

$$\tilde{A}_r(x) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{n\log(\frac{R}{r})}{m\beta_\alpha}\right)^{\frac{n-m}{n}} U_{\frac{r}{R}}(\frac{x-x_0}{R}) & \text{if } |x-x_0| < R \\ 0 & \text{if } |x-x_0| \ge R, \end{cases}$$

where $0 < r < R$ and U_l is as in equation (2.9). Also it is easy to check that $\|\tilde{A}_r\| \le 1$.

Firstly, Let $\delta_k > 0$ be such that $\delta_k \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. We will choose the exact choice of δ_k later. Now by taking $x_0 = 0$, $R = \delta_k$ and $r = \frac{\delta_k}{k}$, we define

$$A_k(x) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{n \log k}{m\beta_\alpha}\right)^{\frac{n-m}{n}} \; U_{\frac{1}{k}}(\frac{x}{\delta_k}), & \text{if } |x| < \delta_k \\ 0, & \text{if } |x| \geq \delta_k, \end{cases}$$

where $A_k = \tilde{A}_r$. Then $A_k(0) = \left(\frac{n \log k}{m\beta_\alpha}\right)^{\frac{n-m}{n}}$ and $\|A_k\| \leq 1$. Let $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)) : \gamma(0) = 0, \mathcal{J}(\gamma(1)) < 0\}$ and define the mountain-pass level $c_* = \inf\limits_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \max\limits_{t\in[0,1]} \mathcal{J}(\gamma(t))$. Then we have,

Lemma 2.6 *There exists k with $A_k \in W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$, $\|A_k\| \leq 1$ such that $c_* < \frac{m}{n}\widehat{M}\left(\beta_\alpha^{\frac{n-m}{m}}\right)$.*

Proof. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that for all k, we have

$$c_* \geq \frac{m}{n}\widehat{M}\left(\beta_\alpha^{\frac{n-m}{m}}\right).$$

Then for all k, there exist $t_k > 0$ such that $\mathcal{J}(t_k A_k) \geq \frac{m}{n}\widehat{M}\left(\beta_\alpha^{\frac{n-m}{m}}\right)$. Therefore

$$\frac{m}{n}\widehat{M}(\|t_k A_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) \geq \frac{m}{n}\widehat{M}\left(\beta_\alpha^{\frac{n-m}{m}}\right).$$

Now using the assumption $(m1)$, we get

$$t_k^{\frac{n}{m}} \geq \beta_\alpha^{\frac{n-m}{m}}. \tag{2.10}$$

Now, since $\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{J}(tA_k) = 0$ at $t = t_k$, it follows that

$$M\left(t_k^{\frac{n}{m}}\|A_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}\right) t_k^{\frac{n}{m}}\|A_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}} = \int_\Omega t_k A_k \frac{f(x, t_k A_k)}{|x|^\alpha} dx.$$

Using equation (2.10), we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega t_k A_k \frac{f(x,t_kA_k)}{|x|^\alpha}dx &\geq \int_{|x|\leq\frac{\delta_k}{k}} t_k A_k \frac{f(x,t_kA_k)}{|x|^\alpha}\, dx \\ &= t_k A_k(0) h(x_0, t_k A_k(0)) e^{|t_k A_k(0)|^{\frac{n}{n-m}}} \frac{w_{n-1}}{n-\alpha}\left(\frac{\delta_k}{k}\right)^{n-\alpha} \\ &\geq t_k A_k(0) h(x_0, t_k A_k(0)) k^{n\frac{t_k^{\frac{n}{n-m}}}{\beta_\alpha}} \left(\frac{\delta_k}{k}\right)^{n-\alpha} \\ &\geq C t_k A_k(0) h(x_0, t_k A_k(0)) k^\alpha \delta_k^{n-\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C > 0$. Now we choose $\delta_k = (\log k)^{\frac{-1}{\eta(n-\alpha)}}$ with $\eta > \frac{n}{n-m}$ in the expression for $A_k(0)$ to get

$$\int_\Omega t_k A_k \frac{f(x,t_kA_k)}{|x|^\alpha}dx \geq C\frac{w_{n-1}}{n-\alpha}\left(\frac{n}{\beta_\alpha}\right)^{\frac{n-m}{m}} t_k h(x_0, t_k A_k(0))(\log k)^{\frac{n-m}{m}-\frac{1}{\eta}}. \tag{2.11}$$

From $(f5)$, we see that the right hand side of (2.11) tends to ∞ as $k \to \infty$. Thus $t_k^{\frac{n}{m}} \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$ which is a contradiction with a bounded t_k. This completes the proof of Lemma. $\square$

2.2 Existence of Solution

In this subsection, we study the first critical level and study the Palais–Smale sequences below this level. Using the concentration compactness principle of Lions [27], we show that the Palais–Smale sequence $\{u_k\}$ below the first critical level satisfies the property that $\{|\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k\}$ converges weakly in $L^{\frac{n}{n-m}}(\Omega)$ (see Lemma 2.8). We show that weak limit of Palais-Smale sequence is the required solution of the problem $(\mathcal{P})$. In order to prove that a Palais-Smale sequence converges to a solution of problem $(\mathcal{P})$ we need the following convergence Lemma. We refer to Lemma 2.1 in [15] for a proof.

Lemma 2.7 *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain and $f : \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ a continuous function. Then for any sequence $\{u_k\}$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ such that*

$$u_k \to u \text{ in } L^1(\Omega), \quad \frac{f(x,u_k)}{|x|^\alpha} \in L^1(\Omega) \text{ and } \int_\Omega \frac{|f(x,u_k)u_k|}{|x|^\alpha}dx \le C,$$

we have up to a subsequence $\frac{f(x,u_k)}{|x|^\alpha} \to \frac{f(x,u)}{|x|^\alpha}$ and $\frac{F(x,u_k)}{|x|^\alpha} \to \frac{F(x,u)}{|x|^\alpha}$ strongly in $L^1(\Omega)$. □

Now we need the following Lemma, inspired by [29], to show that weak limit of a Palais–Smale sequence is a weak solution of $(\mathcal{P})$.

Lemma 2.8 *For any Palais–Smale sequence $\{u_k\}$, there exists a subsequence denoted by $\{u_k\}$ and $u \in W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$ such that*

$$\frac{f(x,u_k)}{|x|^\alpha} \to \frac{f(x,u)}{|x|^\alpha} \text{ in } L^1(\Omega)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &|\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k \rightharpoonup |\nabla^m u|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u \text{ weakly in } L^{\frac{n}{n-m}}(\Omega) \text{ if } m \text{ is even}, \\ &|\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k \rightharpoonup |\nabla^m u|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u \text{ weakly in } (L^{\frac{n}{n-m}}(\Omega))^n \text{ if } m \text{ is odd}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.5, we obtain that $\{u_k\}$ is bounded in $W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$. Consequently, up to a subsequence $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$, $u_k \to u$ strongly in $L^q(\Omega)$ for all $q \in [1,\infty)$ and $u_k(x) \to u(x)$ a.e in Ω. Then using the fact that $\{u_k\}$ is a bounded sequence together with equations (2.7), (2.8) and by Lemma 2.7, we obtain $\frac{f(x,u_k)}{|x|^\alpha} \to \frac{f(x,u)}{|x|^\alpha}$ in $L^1(\Omega)$.

Claim 1: $\{u_k\}$ has a subsequence such that (2.12) holds. Indeed, since $\left\{|\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{\frac{n}{n-m}}(\Omega)$. Then, without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$|\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}} \longrightarrow \mu \text{ in } D'(\Omega) \text{ and}$$

$$|\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k \rightharpoonup \nu \text{ weakly in } L^{\frac{n}{n-m}}(\Omega),$$

where μ is a non-negative regular measure and $D'(\Omega)$ are the distributions on Ω.
Let $\sigma > 0$ and $\mathcal{A}_\sigma = \{x \in \overline{\Omega} : \forall r > 0, \mu(B_r(x) \cap \overline{\Omega}) \ge \sigma\}$. We claim that A_σ is a finite set. Suppose by contradiction that there exists a sequence of distinct points (x_s) in $\mathcal{A}_\sigma$. Since for

all $r > 0$, $\mu(B_r(x) \cap \overline{\Omega}) \geq \sigma$, we have that $\mu(\{x_s\}) \geq \sigma$. This implies that $\mu(\mathcal{A}_\sigma) = +\infty$, however

$$\mu(\mathcal{A}_\sigma) = \lim_{k\to+\infty} \int_{\mathcal{A}_\sigma} |\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}} dx \leq C.$$

Thus $\mathcal{A}_\sigma = \{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_p\}$.
Let $u \in W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$. We know that there exists positive constants β_α, C_2 depending on n, m such that

$$\int_\Omega \frac{e^{\beta_\alpha (\frac{|u|}{\|\nabla^m u\|_{\frac{n}{m}}})^{\frac{n}{n-m}}}}{|x|^\alpha} dx \leq C_2.$$

Assertion 1. If we choose $\sigma > 0$ such that $\sigma^{\frac{m}{n-m}} < \beta_\alpha$, then we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_K \frac{f(x,u_k)u_k}{|x|^\alpha}\, dx = \int_K \frac{f(x,u)u}{|x|^\alpha}\, dx,$$

for any relative compact subset K of $\overline{\Omega} \setminus \mathcal{A}_\sigma$.
Indeed, let $x_0 \in K$ and $r_0 > 0$ be such that $\mu(B_{r_0}(x_0) \cap \overline{\Omega}) < \sigma$. Consider a function $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega, [0,1])$ such that $\phi \equiv 1$ in $B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_0) \cap \overline{\Omega}$ and $\phi \equiv 0$ in $\overline{\Omega} \setminus (B_{r_0}(x_0) \cap \overline{\Omega})$. Thus

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_{B_{r_0}(x_0)\cap\overline{\Omega}} |\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}} \phi\, dx = \int_{B_{r_0}(x_0)\cap\overline{\Omega}} \phi d\mu \leq \mu(B_{r_0}(x_0) \cap \overline{\Omega}) < \sigma.$$

Therefore for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large and $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, we have

$$\int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_0)\cap\overline{\Omega}} |\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}}\, dx = \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_0)\cap\overline{\Omega}} |\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}} \phi dx \leq (1-\epsilon)\sigma,$$

which together with Theorem 1.1 implies

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_0)\cap\overline{\Omega}} \left(\frac{|f(x,u_k)|}{|x|^\alpha}\right)^q dx &= \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_0)\cap\overline{\Omega}} |h(x,u_k)|^q \frac{e^{q|u_k|^{\frac{n}{n-m}}}}{|x|^{q\alpha}} dx \\ &\leq d \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_0)\cap\overline{\Omega}} \frac{e^{(1+\delta)q|u_k|^{\frac{n}{n-m}}}}{|x|^{q\alpha}} dx \leq K \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

if we choose $q > 1$ sufficiently close to 1 and $\delta > 0$ is small enough such that $\frac{(1+\delta)q\sigma^{\frac{m}{n-m}}}{\beta_\alpha} < 1$.
Now we estimate

$$\int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_0)\cap\overline{\Omega}} \frac{|f(x,u_k)u_k - f(x,u)u|}{|x|^\alpha}\, dx \leq I_1 + I_2$$

where

$$I_1 = \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_0)\cap\overline{\Omega}} \frac{|f(x,u_k) - f(x,u)||u|}{|x|^\alpha} dx \text{ and } I_2 = \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_0)\cap\overline{\Omega}} \frac{|f(x,u_k)||u_k - u|}{|x|^\alpha}\, dx.$$

Note that, by Hölder's inequality and (2.13),

$$I_2 = \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_0)\cap\overline{\Omega}} \frac{|f(x,u_k)||u_k - u|}{|x|^\alpha} dx \leq K \left(\int_\Omega |u_k - u|^{q'} dx\right)^{1/q'} \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$

Now, we claim that $I_1 \to 0$. Indeed, given $\epsilon > 0$, by density we can take $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\|u-\phi\|_{q'} < \epsilon$. Thus,

$$\int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_0)\cap\overline{\Omega}} \frac{|f(x,u_k)-f(x,u)||u|}{|x|^\alpha}dx \le \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_0)\cap\overline{\Omega}} (\frac{|f(x,u_k)|}{|x|^\alpha}|u-\phi| + \frac{|f(x,u_k)-f(x,u)|}{|x|^\alpha}|\phi|)dx$$
$$+ \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_0)\cap\overline{\Omega}} \frac{|f(x,u)|}{|x|^\alpha}|\phi-u|dx.$$

Applying Hölder's inequality and using (2.13) , we have

$$\int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_0)\cap\overline{\Omega}} \frac{|f(x,u_k)|}{|x|^\alpha}|u-\phi|\ dx \le \left(\int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_0)\cap\overline{\Omega}} \left(\frac{|f(x,u_k)|}{|x|^\alpha}\right)^q dx\right)^{1/q} \|u-\phi\|_{q'} < \epsilon.$$

Using Lemma 2.7, we get

$$\int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_0)\cap\overline{\Omega}} \frac{|f(x,u_k)-f(x,u)|}{|x|^\alpha}|\phi|\ dx \le \|\phi\|_\infty \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_0)\cap\overline{\Omega}} \frac{|f(x,u_k)-f(x,u)|}{|x|^\alpha}dx \to 0.$$

Also from equation (2.13), we have

$$\int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(x_0)\cap\overline{\Omega}} \frac{|f(x,u)|}{|x|^\alpha}|\phi-u|dx \to 0$$

and hence the claim. Now to conclude Assertion 1 we use that K is compact and we repeat the same procedure over a finite covering of balls.

Assertion 2. Let $\epsilon_0 > 0$ be fixed and small enough such that $B_{\epsilon_0}(x_i) \cap B_{\epsilon_0}(x_j) = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$ and $\Omega_{\epsilon_0} = \{x \in \overline{\Omega} : \|x - x_j\| \ge \epsilon_0, j = 1, 2, \cdots p\}$. Then

$$\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon_0}} (|\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k - |\nabla^m u|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u)(\nabla^m u_k - \nabla^m u)\ dx \to 0.$$

Indeed, let $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$ and $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, [0,1])$ be such that $\phi \equiv 1$ in $B_{1/2}(0)$ and $\phi = 0$ in $\overline{\Omega} \setminus B_1(0)$. Taking

$$\psi_\epsilon(x) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^p \phi\left(\frac{x-x_j}{\epsilon}\right),$$

we have $0 \le \psi_\epsilon \le 1$, $\psi_\epsilon \equiv 1$ in $\overline{\Omega}_\epsilon = \overline{\Omega} \setminus \cup_{j=1}^p B(x_j, \epsilon)$, $\psi_\epsilon \equiv 0$ in $\cup_{j=1}^p B(x_j, \frac{\epsilon}{2})$ and $\psi_\epsilon u_k$ is bounded sequence in $W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$, for each ϵ. Using (2.8) with $v = \psi_\epsilon u_k$, we have

$$M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) \int_\Omega |\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k \nabla^m(\psi_\epsilon u_k)\ dx - \int_\Omega \frac{f(x,u_k)}{|x|^\alpha}\psi_\epsilon u_k dx \le \epsilon_k \|\psi_\epsilon u_k\|,$$

which implies that

$$M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) \int_\Omega |\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}}\psi_\epsilon dx + \sum_{l=1}^m \binom{m}{l} \int_\Omega |\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k \nabla^l \psi_\epsilon \nabla^{m-l} u_k dx$$
$$- \int_\Omega \frac{f(x,u_k)}{|x|^\alpha}\psi_\epsilon u_k dx \le \epsilon_k \|\psi_\epsilon u_k\|. \tag{2.14}$$

Now using (2.8) with $v = -\psi_\epsilon u$, we have

$$M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) \int_\Omega |\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k \nabla^m(-\psi_\epsilon u)\ dx + \int_\Omega \frac{f(x,u_k)}{|x|^\alpha}\psi_\epsilon u\ dx \le \epsilon_k \|\psi_\epsilon u\|.$$

Hence

$$-M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) \int_\Omega |\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k \nabla^m u\psi_\epsilon\ dx - \sum_{l=1}^{m}\binom{m}{l}\int_\Omega |\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k \nabla^l\psi_\epsilon \nabla^{m-l}u\ dx + \int_\Omega \frac{f(x,u_k)}{|x|^\alpha}\psi_\epsilon u\ dx \le \epsilon_k\|\psi_\epsilon u\|. \tag{2.15}$$

Using the strict convexity of function $t \mapsto |t|^n$, we obtain that

$$0 \le M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}})(|\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k - |\nabla^m u|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u)(\nabla^m u_k - \nabla^m u)$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\le M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) \int_{\overline{\Omega}_{\epsilon_0}} (|\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k - |\nabla^m u|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u)(\nabla^m u_k - \nabla^m u)\ dx \\ &\le M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k - |\nabla^m u|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u)(\nabla^m u_k - \nabla^m u)\ dx \end{aligned}$$

which can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \le M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) \int_\Omega [&|\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}}\psi_\epsilon - |\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\psi_\epsilon \nabla^m u_k \nabla^m u \\ &- |\nabla^m u|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\psi_\epsilon \nabla^m u \nabla^m u_k + |\nabla^m u|^{\frac{n}{m}}\psi_\epsilon]\ dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

From (2.14), (2.15) and (2.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \le M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) \sum_{l=1}^{m}\binom{m}{l}\int_\Omega |\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k \nabla^l\psi_\epsilon \nabla^{m-l}(u-u_k)\ dx + \int_\Omega \frac{f(x,u_k)}{|x|^\alpha}\psi_\epsilon(u_k-u)\ dx \\ + M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) \int_\Omega |\nabla^m u|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u(\nabla^m u - \nabla^m u_k)\psi_\epsilon dx + \epsilon_k(\|\psi_\epsilon u_k\| + \|\psi_\epsilon u\|). \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Now we estimate each integral in (2.17), separately. For arbitrary $\delta > 0$, using the interpolation inequality $ab \le \delta a^{\frac{n}{n-m}} + C_\delta b^{\frac{n}{m}}$ with $C_\delta = \delta^{1-\frac{n}{m}}$ and boundedness of Palais-Smale sequence, we have for all $0 \le r \le m-1$ and for any l,

$$\begin{aligned} M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) &\int_\Omega |\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k \nabla^l\psi_\epsilon(\nabla^r u - \nabla^r u_k)dx \\ &\le C_M\left(\delta\int_\Omega |\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}}dx + C_\delta\int_\Omega |\nabla^l\psi_\epsilon|^{\frac{n}{m}}|\nabla^r u - \nabla^r u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}}dx\right) \\ &\le C_M\delta K + C_M C_\delta\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla^l\psi_\epsilon|^{\frac{n}{m}t}dx\right)^{1/t}\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla^r u - \nabla^r u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}s}dx\right)^{1/s}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{t} = 1$. Thus since for all $0 \le r \le m-1$, $\nabla^r u_k \to \nabla^r u$ strongly in $L^{\frac{ns}{m}}(\Omega)$ and δ is arbitrary, we obtain that

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) \int_\Omega |\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k \nabla^l\psi_\epsilon(\nabla^r u - \nabla^r u_k)\ dx \le 0 \text{ for all } 0 \le r \le m-1. \tag{2.18}$$

Using that $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$, we obtain

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla^m u|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u(\nabla^{m-l}u - \nabla^{m-l}u_k)\psi_\epsilon \, dx \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty. \tag{2.19}$$

We claim that $\int_\Omega \frac{f(x,u_k)(u_k-u)}{|x|^\alpha}\psi_\epsilon \, dx \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. Indeed,

$$\int_\Omega \psi_\epsilon \frac{f(x,u_k)(u_k-u)}{|x|^\alpha}dx = \int_\Omega \psi_\epsilon \frac{f(x,u_k)u_k}{|x|^\alpha} - \int_\Omega \psi_\epsilon \frac{f(x,u)u}{|x|^\alpha}dx + \int_\Omega \psi_\epsilon \frac{f(x,u)u}{|x|^\alpha}dx - \int_\Omega \psi_\epsilon \frac{f(x,u_k)u}{|x|^\alpha}dx.$$

Applying Assertion 1 with $g(x,u) = \psi_\epsilon(x)f(x,u)$ and $K = \overline{\Omega}_{\epsilon/2}$, we have that

$$\int_\Omega \psi_\epsilon \frac{f(x,u_k)u_k}{|x|^\alpha} \, dx = \int_{\overline{\Omega}_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}} \psi_\epsilon \frac{f(x,u_k)u_k}{|x|^\alpha} \, dx \longrightarrow \int_{\overline{\Omega}_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}} \psi_\epsilon \frac{f(x,u)u}{|x|^\alpha}dx = \int_\Omega \psi_\epsilon \frac{f(x,u)u}{|x|^\alpha} \, dx, \tag{2.20}$$

as $k \to \infty$. Hence from (2.17), (2.18), (2.19) and (2.20), we have

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) \sum_{l=1}^{m} \binom{m}{l} \int_\Omega |\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k \nabla^l \psi_\epsilon (\nabla^{m-l}u - \nabla^{m-l}u_k) \, dx = 0. \tag{2.21}$$

Now, using $\langle J'(u_k), \psi_\epsilon(u_k - u)\rangle \longrightarrow 0$, we conclude the Assertion 2. Finally using Assertion 2, since ϵ_0 is arbitrary we obtain that

$$\nabla^m u_k(x) \to \nabla^m u(x) \text{ almost everywhere in } \Omega,$$

which together with the fact that the sequence $\left\{|\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{\frac{n}{n-m}}(\Omega)$, implies

$$|\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k \rightharpoonup |\nabla^m u|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u \text{ is weakly convergent in } L^{\frac{n}{n-m}}(\Omega),$$

up to a subsequence. Thus we conclude the proof of Lemma. □

Now we define the Nehari manifold associated to the functional $\mathcal{J}$, as

$$\mathcal{N} := \{0 \not\equiv u \in W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega) : \langle \mathcal{J}'(u), u\rangle = 0\}$$

and let $b := \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{J}(u)$. Then we need the following Lemma to compare c_* and b. From the fact that $\frac{f(x,t)}{t^{\frac{2n}{m}-1}}$ increasing we deduce the following

Lemma 2.9 *If condition $(f1)$ holds, then for each $x \in \Omega$, $sf(x,s) - \frac{2n}{m}F(x,s)$ is increasing for $s \geq 0$. In particular $sf(x,s) - \frac{2n}{m}F(x,s) \geq 0$ for all $(x,s) \in \Omega \times [0,\infty)$.*

Lemma 2.10 *: If (i) $\frac{m(t)}{t}$ is nonincreasing for $t > 0$ (ii) for each $x \in \Omega$, $\frac{f(x,t)}{t^{\frac{2n-m}{m}}}$ is increasing for $t > 0$ hold. Then $c_* \leq b$.*

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{N}$, define $h : (0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ by $h(t) = \mathcal{J}(tu)$. Then using $\langle \mathcal{J}'(u), u\rangle = 0$, we get

$$h'(t) = \|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}} t^{\frac{2n-m}{m}} \left[\left(\frac{M(t^{\frac{n}{m}}\|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}})}{t^{\frac{n}{m}}\|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}}} - \frac{M(\|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}})}{\|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}}} \right) + \int_\Omega \left(\frac{f(u)}{|x|^\alpha (u)^{\frac{2n-m}{m}}} - \frac{f(tu)}{|x|^\alpha (tu)^{\frac{2n-m}{m}}} \right) u^{\frac{2n}{m}} dx \right].$$

So $h'(1) = 0$, $h'(t) \geq 0$ for $0 < t < 1$ and $h'(t) < 0$ for $t > 1$. Hence $\mathcal{J}(u) = \max\limits_{t\geq 0} \mathcal{J}(tu)$. Now define $g : [0, 1] \to W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$ as $g(t) = (t_0 u)t$, where t_0 is such that $\mathcal{J}(t_0 u) < 0$. We have $g \in \Gamma$ and therefore

$$c_* \leq \max_{t\in[0,1]} \mathcal{J}(g(t)) \leq \max_{t\geq 0} \mathcal{J}(tu) = \mathcal{J}(u).$$

Since $u \in \mathcal{N}$ is arbitrary, $c_* \leq b$ and the proof is complete. □

We recall the following singular version of higher integrability Lemma due to Lions [27]. Proof follows from Theorem 1.1 and Brezis-Lieb Lemma. For $\alpha = 0$, details of the proof can be seen in [21].

Lemma 2.11 *Let $\{u_k : \|u_k\| = 1\}$ be a sequence in $W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$ converging weakly to a non-zero u and $\nabla^m u_k \to \nabla^m u$ converges pointwise almost every where in Ω. Then for every p such that $1 < p < (1 - \frac{\alpha}{n})(1 - \|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}})^{\frac{-m}{n-m}}$,*

$$\sup_k \int_\Omega \frac{e^{p\beta_\alpha |u_k|^{\frac{n}{n-m}}}}{|x|^\alpha} dx < \infty.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.2: Let $\{u_k\}$ be a Palais-Smale sequence at level c_*. That is $\mathcal{J}(u_k) \to c_*$ and $\mathcal{J}'(u_k) \to 0$. Then by Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.7, there exists $u_0 \in W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$ such that $u_k \rightharpoonup u_0$ weakly in $W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$, $\nabla^m u_k(x) \to \nabla^m u_0(x)$ a.e. in Ω. As $\{u_k\}$ is bounded, so up to a subsequence $\|u_k\| \to \rho_0 > 0$. Moreover, condition $\mathcal{J}'(u_k) \to 0$ and Lemma 2.8 implies that

$$M(\rho_0^{\frac{n}{m}}) \int_\Omega |\nabla^m u_0|^{\frac{n}{m}-2} \nabla^m u_0 \nabla^m v \, dx = \int_\Omega \frac{f(x, u_0)}{|x|^\alpha} v \, dx \text{ for all } v \in W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega). \tag{2.22}$$

Now we claim that u_0 is the required nontrivial solution.

Claim 1: $M(\|u_0\|^{\frac{n}{m}})\|u_0\|^{\frac{n}{m}} \geq \int_\Omega \frac{f(x,u_0)u_0}{|x|^\alpha} dx$.

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that $M(\|u_0\|^{\frac{n}{m}})\|u_0\|^{\frac{n}{m}} < \int_\Omega \frac{f(x,u_0)u_0}{|x|^\alpha} \, dx$. That is, $\langle \mathcal{J}'(u_0), u_0\rangle < 0$. Using (2.2) and Sobolev imbedding, we can see that $\langle \mathcal{J}'(tu_0), u_0\rangle > 0$ for t sufficiently small. Thus there exist $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ such that $\langle \mathcal{J}'(\sigma u_0), u_0\rangle = 0$. That is, $\sigma u_0 \in \mathcal{N}$. Thus according to Lemma 2.9,

$$\begin{aligned} c_* \leq b \leq \mathcal{J}(\sigma u_0) &= \mathcal{J}(\sigma u_0) - \frac{m}{2n}\langle \mathcal{J}'(\sigma u_0), \sigma u_0\rangle \\ &= \frac{\widehat{M}(\|\sigma u_0\|^{\frac{n}{m}})}{\frac{n}{m}} - \frac{M(\|\sigma u_0\|^{\frac{n}{m}})\|\sigma u_0\|^{\frac{n}{m}}}{\frac{2n}{m}} + \frac{m}{2n}\int_\Omega \frac{(f(x,\sigma u_0)\sigma u_0 - \frac{2n}{m}F(x,\sigma u_0))}{|x|^\alpha} \\ &< \frac{m}{n}\widehat{M}(\|u_0\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) - \frac{m}{2n}M(\|u_0\|^{\frac{n}{m}})\|u_0\|^{\frac{n}{m}} + \frac{m}{2n}\int_\Omega \frac{(f(x,u_0)u_0 - \frac{2n}{m}F(x,u_0))}{|x|^\alpha} dx \end{aligned}$$

By lower semicontinuity of norm and Fatou's Lemma, we get

$$\begin{aligned} c_* &< \liminf_{k\to\infty} \frac{m}{n}\widehat{M}(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) - \frac{m}{2n}M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}})\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}} \\ &\quad + \liminf_{k\to\infty} \frac{m}{2n}\int_\Omega \frac{\left(f(x,u_k)u_k - \frac{2n}{m}F(x,u_k)\right)}{|x|^\alpha}dx \\ &\le \lim_{k\to\infty}\Big(\mathcal{J}(u_k) - \frac{m}{2n}\langle \mathcal{J}'(u_k), u_k\rangle\Big) = c_*, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction and the claim 2 is proved.

Claim 2: $J(u_0) = c_*$.

Proof. Using $\int_\Omega \frac{F(x,u_k)}{|x|^\alpha}dx \to \int_\Omega \frac{F(x,u_0)}{|x|^\alpha}dx$ and lower semicontinuity of norm we have $\mathcal{J}(u_0) \le c_*$. We are going to show that the case $\mathcal{J}(u_0) < c_*$ can not occur.
Indeed, if $\mathcal{J}(u_0) < c_*$ then $\|u_0\|^{\frac{n}{m}} < \rho_0^{\frac{n}{m}}$. Moreover,

$$\frac{m}{n}\widehat{M}(\rho_0^{\frac{n}{m}}) = \lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{m}{n}\widehat{M}(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) = c_* + \int_\Omega \frac{F(x,u_0)}{|x|^\alpha}dx, \tag{2.23}$$

which implies $\rho_0^{\frac{n}{m}} = \widehat{M}^{-1}\left(\frac{n}{m}c_* + \frac{n}{m}\int_\Omega \frac{F(x,u_0)}{|x|^\alpha}dx\right)$.

Next defining $v_k = \frac{u_k}{\|u_k\|}$ and $v_0 = \frac{u_0}{\rho_0}$, we have $v_k \rightharpoonup v_0$ in $W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$ and $\|v_0\| < 1$. Thus by Lemma 2.11,

$$\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\int_\Omega \frac{e^{p|v_k|^{\frac{n}{n-m}}}}{|x|^\alpha}\,dx < \infty \text{ for all } 1 < p < \Big(1-\frac{\alpha}{n}\Big)\beta_\alpha\Big(1-\|v_0\|^{\frac{n}{m}}\Big)^{\frac{-m}{n-m}}. \tag{2.24}$$

On the other hand, by Assertion 2, (1.1) and Lemma 2.9, we have

$$\mathcal{J}(u_0) \ge \frac{m}{n}\widehat{M}(\|u_0\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) - \frac{2m}{n}M(\|u_0\|^{\frac{n}{m}})\|u_0\|^{\frac{n}{m}} + \frac{m}{2n}\int_\Omega \frac{(f(x,u_0)u_0 - \frac{2n}{m}F(x,u_0)}{|x|^\alpha}.$$

So, $\mathcal{J}(u_0) \ge 0$. Using this together with Lemma 2.6 and the equality, $\frac{n}{m}(c_* - J(u_0)) = \widehat{M}(\rho_0^{\frac{n}{m}}) - \widehat{M}(\|u_0\|^{\frac{n}{m}})$ we get $\widehat{M}(\rho_0^{\frac{n}{m}}) \le \frac{n}{m}c_* + \widehat{M}(\|u_0\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) < \widehat{M}(\beta_\alpha^{\frac{n-m}{m}}) + \widehat{M}(\|u_0\|^{\frac{n}{m}})$ and therefore by $(m1)$

$$\rho_0^{\frac{n}{m}} < \widehat{M}^{-1}\left(\widehat{M}(\beta_\alpha^{\frac{n-m}{m}}) + \widehat{M}(\|u_0\|^{\frac{n}{m}})\right) \le \beta_\alpha^{\frac{n-m}{m}} + \|u_0\|^{\frac{n}{m}}. \tag{2.25}$$

Since $\rho_0^{\frac{n}{m}}(1-\|v_0\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) = \rho_0^{\frac{n}{m}} - \|u_0\|^{\frac{n}{m}}$, from (2.25) it follows that

$$\rho_0^{\frac{n}{m}} < \frac{\beta_\alpha^{\frac{n-m}{m}}}{1-\|v_0\|^{\frac{n}{m}}}.$$

Thus, there exists $\nu > 0$ such that $\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{n-m}} < \nu < \frac{\beta_\alpha}{(1-\|v_0\|^{\frac{n}{m}})^{\frac{m}{n-m}}}$ for k large. We can choose $q > 1$ close to 1 such that $q\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{n-m}} \le \nu < \frac{\beta_\alpha}{(1-\|v_0\|^{\frac{n}{m}})^{\frac{m}{n-m}}}$ and using (2.24), we conclude that for k large

$$\int_\Omega \frac{e^{q|u_k|^{\frac{n}{n-m}}}}{|x|^\alpha}dx \le \int_\Omega \frac{e^{\nu|v_k|^{\frac{n}{n-m}}}}{|x|^\alpha}dx \le C.$$

Now by standard calculations, using Hölder's inequality and weak convergence of $\{u_k\}$ to u_0, we get $\int_\Omega \frac{f(x,u_k)(u_k-u_0)}{|x|^\alpha}dx \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. Since $\langle \mathcal{J}'(u_k), u_k - u_0\rangle \to 0$, it follows that

$$M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) \int_\Omega |\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k(\nabla^m u_k - \nabla^m u_0) \to 0. \tag{2.26}$$

On the other hand, using $u_k \rightharpoonup u_0$ weakly and boundedness of $\{u_k\}$, we get

$$M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) \int_\Omega |\nabla^m u_0|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_0(\nabla^m u_k - \nabla^m u_0) \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty. \tag{2.27}$$

Subtracting (2.27) from (2.26), we get

$$M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) \int_\Omega (|\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k - |\nabla^m u_0|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_0)\cdot(\nabla^m u_k - \nabla^m u_0) \to 0$$

as $k \to \infty$. Now using this and inequality by using

$$|a-b|^l \le 2^{l-2}(|a|^{l-2}a - |b|^{l-2}b)(a-b) \text{ for all } a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } l \ge 2,$$

with $a = \nabla^m u_k$ and $b = \nabla^m u_0$, we get

$$M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) \int_\Omega |\nabla^m u_k - \nabla^m u_0|^{\frac{n}{m}} \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$

Since $M(t) \ge M_0$, we obtain $u_k \to u$ strongly in $W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$ and hence $\|u_k\| \to \|u_0\|$. Therefore, $J(u_0) = c_*$ and hence the claim.

Claim 3: $u_0 \not\equiv 0$. Suppose not. Then from claim 2,
$\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \widehat{M}(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) = \dfrac{n}{m}\left(c + \displaystyle\int_\Omega \frac{F(x,u)}{|x|^\alpha}dx\right) = \dfrac{nc}{m}$ and $c \neq 0$ which is a contradiction. Hence $u \not\equiv 0$. Now By Assertion 3 and (2.23) we can see that $\widehat{M}(\rho_0^{\frac{n}{m}}) = \widehat{M}(\|u_0\|^{\frac{n}{m}})$ which shows that $\rho_0^{\frac{n}{m}} = \|u_0\|^{\frac{n}{m}}$. Hence by (2.22) we have

$$M(\|u_0\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) \int_\Omega |\nabla^m u_0|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_0 \nabla^m v \, dx = \int_\Omega \frac{f(x,u_0)}{|x|^\alpha} v \, dx, \text{ for all } v \in W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega).$$

Thus, u_0 is a solution of $(\mathcal{P})$. □

3 Multiplicity results for convex-concave problem

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \ge 2m \ge 2$, be a bounded domain with smooth boundary. We consider the following quasilinear polyharmonic equation in Ω:

$$(\mathcal{P}_\lambda) \quad \begin{cases} -M\left(\displaystyle\int_\Omega |\nabla^m u|^{\frac{n}{m}} dx\right)\Delta^m_{\frac{n}{m}} u = \lambda h(x)|u|^{q-1}u + u|u|^p \, \frac{e^{|u|^\beta}}{|x|^\alpha} \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = \nabla u = \cdots = \nabla^{m-1} u = 0 \qquad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $1 < q < \frac{n}{m} < \frac{2n}{m} < p+2$, $0 < \alpha < n$, $\beta \in (1, \frac{n}{n-m}]$ and $\lambda > 0$. We assume the following:

$(m4)$ $M(t) = a + bt$ with $M(t) \geq M_0$ for all $t \geq 0$.

(H) $h^{\pm} \not\equiv 0$ and $h \in L^{\frac{k}{k-1}}(\Omega) \cap L^{\gamma}(\Omega, |x|^{\frac{\alpha}{k-1}}\, dx)$, where $\gamma = \frac{n}{n-mq-m}$ and $k = \frac{p+2+\beta}{q}$.

Definition 3.1 *We say that $u \in W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of $(\mathcal{P}_\lambda)$, if for all $\phi \in W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$, we have*

$$M\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla^m u|^{\frac{n}{m}} dx\right) \int_\Omega |\nabla^m u|^{\frac{n}{m}-2} \nabla^m u \nabla^m \phi \, dx = \lambda \int_\Omega h(x)|u|^{q-2}u\phi \, dx + \int_\Omega \frac{f(u)}{|x|^\alpha}\phi \, dx.$$

The Euler functional associated with the problem $(\mathcal{P}_\lambda)$ is $J_\lambda : W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{m}{n}\widehat{M}\left(\int_\Omega |\nabla^m u|^{\frac{n}{m}}\, dx\right) - \frac{\lambda}{q}\int_\Omega h(x)|u|^q \, dx - \int_\Omega \frac{F(u)}{|x|^\alpha}\, dx,$$

where $f(u) = u|u|^p e^{|u|^\beta}$ and $F(u) = \displaystyle\int_0^u f(s)ds$.
In this part of the paper, we show the following multiplicity result:

Theorem 3.2 *For $\beta \in (1, \frac{n}{n-m})$, h satisfying (H) and $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$, $(\mathcal{P}_\lambda)$ admits atleast two solutions.*

Moreover, we have the following existence result for critical case:

Theorem 3.3 *Let $\beta = \frac{n}{n-m}$ and h satisfies (H). Then there exists λ^0 such that for $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^0)$, $(\mathcal{P}_\lambda)$ admits a solution u_λ, which is a local minimizer of J_λ on $W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$.*

3.1 The Nehari manifold and Fibering map analysis for (P_λ)

The energy functional J_λ is unbounded on the space $W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$, but is bounded below on an appropriate subset $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$ (see below) of $W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$ and a minimizer on nonempty decompositions of this set give rise to the solutions of $(\mathcal{P}_\lambda)$. We introduce the Nehari manifold $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$ associated to the problem $(\mathcal{P}_\lambda)$ as

$$\mathcal{N}_\lambda := \left\{u \in W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega) : \langle J'_\lambda(u), u\rangle = 0\right\},$$

where $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ denotes the duality between $W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$ and its dual space. We note that $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$ contains every solution of $(\mathcal{P}_\lambda)$. The Nehari manifold is closely related to the behavior of the fibering map $\phi_u : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as $\phi_u(t) = J_\lambda(tu)$, which was introduced by Drabek and Pohozaev in [14]. For $u \in W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_u(t) &= \frac{m}{n}\widehat{M}\left(t^{\frac{n}{m}}\|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}}\right) - \frac{\lambda t^q}{q}\int_\Omega h(x)|u|^q \, dx - \int_\Omega \frac{F(tu)}{|x|^\alpha}\, dx,\\
\phi'_u(t) &= M\left(t^{\frac{n}{m}}\|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}}\right) t^{\frac{n}{m}-1}\|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}} - \lambda t^{q-1}\int_\Omega h(x)|u|^q \, dx - \int_\Omega \frac{f(tu)u}{|x|^\alpha}\, dx,\\
\phi''_u(t) &= \left(\frac{n}{m}-1\right) t^{\frac{n}{m}-2} M\left(t^{\frac{n}{m}}\|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}}\right)\|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}} + \frac{n}{m}M'\left(t^{\frac{n}{m}}\|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}}\right) t^{\frac{2n}{m}-1}\|u\|^{\frac{2n}{m}}\\
&\quad - \lambda(q-1)t^{q-2}\int_\Omega h(x)|u|^q \, dx - \int_\Omega \frac{f'(tu)u^2}{|x|^\alpha}\, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

So, $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ if and only if

$$M\left(\|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}}\right)\|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}} - \lambda\int_\Omega h(x)|u|^q\,dx - \int_\Omega \frac{f(u)u}{|x|^\alpha}\,dx = 0.$$

It is easy to see that $tu \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ if and only if $\phi_u'(1) = 0$, that is $\phi_u'(t) = 0$. We divide $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$ into three parts corresponding to local minima, local maxima and points of inflection of $\phi_u(t)$ as,

$$\mathcal{N}_\lambda^{\pm} = \left\{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda : \phi_u''(1) \gtrless 0\right\} \quad \mathcal{N}_\lambda^0 = \left\{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda : \phi_u''(1) = 0\right\}.$$

To prove our result, first we prove the following important Lemma:

Lemma 3.4 *Let* $\Lambda := \left\{u \in W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega),\ \ \|u\|^{\frac{3n}{2m}} \le \dfrac{m}{2\sqrt{ab(n-mq)(2n-mq)}}\displaystyle\int_\Omega \frac{f'(u)u^2}{|x|^\alpha}dx\right\}$. *Then there exists* $\lambda_0 > 0$ *such that for every* $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$,

$$I_\lambda := \inf_{u\in\Lambda\setminus\{0\}}\left\{\int_\Omega \frac{\left(mp+2m-2n+m\beta|u|^\beta\right)|u|^{p+2}e^{|u|^\beta}}{|x|^\alpha} - (2n-mq)\lambda\int_\Omega h|u|^q dx\right\} > 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Step 1: We have

$$\inf_{u\in\Lambda\setminus\{0\}}\|u\| > 0.$$

Suppose not. Then there exists a sequence $\{u_k\} \subset \Lambda \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\|u_k\| \to 0$ and we have

$$\|u_k\|^{\frac{3n}{2m}} \le \frac{m}{2\sqrt{ab(n-mq)(2n-mq)}}\int_\Omega \frac{f'(u_k)u_k^2}{|x|^\alpha}dx \quad \text{for all } k. \tag{3.2}$$

From $f(u) = u|u|^p e^{|u|^\beta}$, by Hölder's and Sobolev inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\Omega \frac{f'(u_k)u_k^2}{|x|^\alpha}dx &= \int_\Omega \frac{\left(p+1+\beta|u_k|^\beta\right)|u_k|^{p+2}e^{|u_k|^\beta}}{|x|^\alpha}dx\\
&\le C\int_\Omega \frac{|u_k|^{p+2}e^{(1+\delta)|u_k|^\beta}}{|x|^\alpha}dx\\
&\le C\left(\int_\Omega \frac{|u_k|^{(p+2)t'}}{|x|^\alpha}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{t'}}\left(\int_\Omega \frac{e^{t(1+\delta)|u_k|^\beta}}{|x|^\alpha}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{t}}\\
&\le C'\|u_k\|^{p+2}\left(\sup_{\|w_k\|\le 1}\int_\Omega \frac{e^{t(1+\delta)\|u_k\|^\beta|w_k|^\beta}}{|x|^\alpha}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{t}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\|u_k\| \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, we can choose $\gamma = t(1+\delta)\|u_k\|^\beta$ such that $\gamma \le \beta_\alpha$. Hence for every $\beta \le \frac{n}{n-m}$, using Theorem 1.1 and (3.2), we get $1 \le K'\|u_k\|^{p+2-\frac{n}{m}} \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, since $p+2 > \frac{n}{m}$. This is a contradiction.

Step 2: Let $C_1 = \inf_{u\in\Lambda\setminus\{0\}}\left\{\displaystyle\int_\Omega \frac{\left(mp+2m-2n+m\beta|u|^\beta\right)|u|^{p+2}e^{|u|^\beta}}{|x|^\alpha}dx\right\}$. Then $C_1 > 0$.

From Step 1 and the definition of Λ, we obtain

$$0 < \inf_{u\in\Lambda\setminus\{0\}} \int_\Omega \frac{f'(u)u^2}{|x|^\alpha}dx = \inf_{u\in\Lambda\setminus\{0\}} \int_\Omega \frac{\left(p+1+\beta|u|^\beta\right)|u|^{p+2}e^{|u|^\beta}}{|x|^\alpha}dx.$$

Using this it is easy to check that

$$\inf_{u\in\Lambda\setminus\{0\}} \left\{ \int_\Omega \frac{\left(mp+2m-2n+m\beta|u|^\beta\right)|u|^{p+2}e^{|u|^\beta}}{|x|^\alpha}dx \right\} > 0.$$

Step 3: Let $\lambda < \left(\frac{1}{2n-mq}\right)\left(\frac{C_1}{l}\right)^{\frac{(k-1)}{k}}$, where $l = \int_\Omega |h(x)|^{\frac{k}{k-1}}|x|^{\frac{\alpha}{k-1}}dx$ and $k = \frac{p+2+\beta}{q}$. Then (3.1) holds.
Using Hölder's inequality and (H) we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\Omega h(x)|u|^q &\le \left(\int_\Omega |h(x)|^{\frac{k}{k-1}}|x|^{\frac{\alpha}{k-1}}dx\right)^{\frac{k-1}{k}} \left(\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{qk}}{|x|^\alpha}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \\
&= l^{\frac{k-1}{k}} \left(\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{p+2+\beta}}{|x|^\alpha}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \\
&\le l^{\frac{k-1}{k}} \left(\int_\Omega \frac{\left(mp+2m-2n+m\beta|u|^\beta\right)|u|^{p+2}e^{|u|^\beta}}{|x|^\alpha}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \\
&\le \left(\frac{l}{C_1}\right)^{\frac{k-1}{k}} \int_\Omega \frac{\left(mp+2m-2n+m\beta|u|^\beta\right)|u|^{p+2}e^{|u|^\beta}}{|x|^\alpha}dx.
\end{aligned}$$

The above inequality combined with step 2 proves the Lemma. □

Remark 3.5 *From the proof of Lemma 3.4, it is clear that if $I_{\lambda'} > 0$ for some λ', then $I_\lambda > 0$ for all $\lambda < \lambda'$. So we can assume that λ_0 is the maximum of all λ such that $I_\lambda > 0$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$.*

Now we discuss the behavior of fibering map with respect to sign of $\int_\Omega h(x)|u|^q dx$
Case 1: $\int_\Omega h(x)|u|^q dx > 0$
We define $\psi_u : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\psi_u(t) = t^{\frac{n}{m}-q} M\left(t^{\frac{n}{m}}\|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}}\right)\|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}} - t^{1-q}\int_\Omega \frac{f(tu)u}{|x|^\alpha}\,dx.$$

Clearly, for $t > 0$, t is a solution of $\psi_u(t) = \lambda\int_\Omega h(x)|u|^q\,dx$ if and only if $tu \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_u'(t) &= \left(\frac{n}{m}-q\right)t^{\frac{n}{m}-1-q}\|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}} M\left(t^{\frac{n}{m}}\|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}}\right) \\
&+ \frac{n}{m}t^{\frac{2n}{m}-1}\|u\|^{\frac{2n}{m}} M'\left(t^{\frac{n}{m}}\|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}}\right) - t^{1-q}\int_\Omega \frac{f'(tu)u^2}{|x|^\alpha}dx - (1-q)t^{-q}\int_\Omega \frac{f(tu)u}{|x|^\alpha}dx \\
&= \left(\frac{n}{m}-q\right)t^{\frac{n}{m}-1-q}a\|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}} + \left(\frac{2n}{m}-q\right)t^{\frac{2n}{m}-1-q}b\|u\|^{\frac{2n}{m}} \\
&- t^{1-q}\int_\Omega \frac{f'(tu)u^2}{|x|^\alpha}dx - (1-q)t^{-q}\int_\Omega \frac{f(tu)u}{|x|^\alpha}dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\lim_{t\to 0^+}\psi_u'(t)=\infty$, $\lim_{t\to\infty}\psi_u'(t)=-\infty$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty}\psi_u(t)=-\infty$. So it is easy easy to show that there exists $t_*=t_*(u)>0$ such that $\psi_u'(t_*)=0$ and $\max\psi_u(t)=\psi_u(t_*)>0$. Also for any critical point of ψ_u we have

$$\left(\frac{n}{m}-q\right)t^{\frac{n}{m}}a\|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}}+\left(\frac{2n}{m}-q\right)t^{\frac{2n}{m}}b\|u\|^{\frac{2n}{m}}-(1-q)\int_\Omega\frac{f(tu)tu}{|x|^\alpha}dx-\int_\Omega\frac{f'(tu)(tu)^2}{|x|^\alpha}dx=0 \tag{3.3}$$

Now using (3.3), we get $\psi_u(t)>0$ for any critical point t of ψ_u. Therefore for small values of $\lambda>0$, $t_*u\in\Lambda\setminus\{0\}$ and

$$\psi_u(t_*)\geq\frac{1}{t_*^q(2n-mq)}\left[m\int_\Omega\frac{f'(t_*u)(t_*u)^2}{|x|^\alpha}dx-(2n-m)\int_\Omega\frac{f(t_*u)t_*u}{|x|^\alpha}dx\right].$$

Note that

$$mf'(s)s^2-(2n-m)f(s)s=(mp+2m-2n+m\beta|s|^\beta)|s|^{p+2}e^{|s|^\beta}. \tag{3.4}$$

Using Lemma 3.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_u(t_*)-\lambda\int_\Omega h(x)|u|^q dx&\\ \geq&\frac{1}{t_*^q(2n-mq)}\left(\int_\Omega\frac{(mp+2m-2n+m\beta u^\beta)u^{p+2}e^{u^\beta}}{|x|^\alpha}dx-(2n-m)\lambda\int_\Omega h(x)|u|^q dx\right)\\ &>0.\end{aligned}$$

Then there exist unique $t_1=t_1(u)<t_*$, $t_2=t_2(u)>t_*$ such that $\psi_u(t_1)=\lambda\int_\Omega h(x)|u|^q dx=\psi_u(t_2)$ and t_1u, $t_2u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda$. Also $\psi_u'(t_1)>0$, $\psi_u'(t_2)<0$ implies $t_1u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ and $t_2u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$. As $\phi_u'(t)=t^{q-1}(\psi_u(t)-\lambda\int_\Omega h(x)|u|^q dx)$, we have $\phi_u'(t)<0$ for all $t\in[0,t_1)$ and $\phi_u'(t)>0$ for all $t\in(t_1,t_2)$. Thus $\phi_u(t_1)=\min_{0\leq t\leq t_2}\phi_u(t)$. Also $\phi_u'(t)>0$ for all $t\in[t_*,t_2)$, $\phi_u'(t_2)=0$ and $\phi_u'(t)<0$ for all $t\in(t_2,\infty)$ implies that $\phi_u(t_2)=\max_{t\geq t_*}\phi_u(t)$.

Case 2: $\int_\Omega h(x)|u|^q dx\leq 0$.
Since $\int_\Omega h|u|^q\,dx\leq 0$, and $\psi_u(t)\to-\infty$ as $t\to\infty$. Since for any critical point t of ψ_u, $\psi_u(t)>0$. Therefore for any $\lambda>0$ it is clear that there exists $t_2(u)$ such that $\psi_u(t_2)=\lambda\int_\Omega h(x)|u|^q$. Thus for $0<t<t_2$, $\phi_u'(t)>0$ and for $t>t_2$, $\phi_u'(t)<0$. Thus ϕ_u has exactly one critical point $t_2(u)$, which is a global maximum point. Hence $t_2(u)u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$.

Now from above discussion we have the following Lemma

Lemma 3.6 *For any $u\in W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$,*

1. *There exists a unique $t_2(u)>0$ such that $t_2u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ for every $\lambda>0$.*
2. *Assume that λ satisfies conditions in Lemma 3.4. If $\int_\Omega h(x)|u|^q dx>0$, set $t_*(u)$ the minimal positive critical point of ψ_w such that $\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}\psi_u(t)=\psi_u(t_*)$. Then, there exists unique $t_1(u)<t_*(u)<t_2(u)$ such that $t_1u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ and $t_2u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$. Moreover $J_\lambda(t_1u)<J_\lambda(tu)$ for any $t\in[0,t_2]$ such that $t\neq t_1$ and $J_\lambda(t_2u)=\max_{t>t_*}J_\lambda(tu)$.*

We note that for $u \in W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$, $u \mapsto t_2(u)$ is a continuous function for non-zero u. This follows from the uniqueness of $t_2(u)$ and the extremal property of $t_2(u)$.

Lemma 3.7 *If λ be such that (3.1) holds. Then $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^0 = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Suppose $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^0$, $u \not\equiv 0$. Then by definition of $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^0$, we have the following two equations

$$\left(\frac{n}{m}-1\right) a\|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}} + \left(\frac{2n}{m}-1\right) b\|u\|^{\frac{2n}{m}} = \lambda(q-1)\int_\Omega h(x)|u|^q\,dx + \int_\Omega \frac{f'(u)u^2}{|x|^\alpha}\,dx, \tag{3.5}$$

$$a\|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}} + b\|u\|^{\frac{2n}{m}} = \lambda\int_\Omega h(x)|u|^q\,dx + \int_\Omega \frac{f(u)u}{|x|^\alpha}\,dx. \tag{3.6}$$

Then from above equations, using inequality between arithmetic and geometric mean, we can easily deduce that $u \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}$. Now from (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) we get

$$(2n-m)\lambda\int_\Omega h(x)|u|^q\,dx > \int_\Omega \frac{\left(mp+2m-2n+m\beta|u|^\beta\right)|u|^{p+2}e^{|u|^\beta}}{|x|^\alpha}\,dx,$$

which violates Lemma 3.4. Hence $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^0 = \{0\}$. □

The following lemma shows that minimizers for J_λ on any of subsets $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+, \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ of $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$ are usually critical points for J_λ. Proof is standard as can be seen in Lemma 3.8 of [19].

Lemma 3.8 *If u is a minimizer of J_λ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$ such that $u \notin \mathcal{N}_\lambda^0$. Then u is a critical point for J_λ.*

We define $\theta_\lambda := \inf\{J_\lambda(u) \mid u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda\}$ and prove the following lower bound:

Lemma 3.9 *J_λ is coercive and bounded below on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$. Moreover, there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending on p, q, n and m such that $\theta_\lambda \geq -C\lambda^{\frac{k}{k-1}}$, where $k = \frac{p+2+\beta}{q}$.*

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$. Then we have

$$J_\lambda(u) = \left(\frac{m}{n}-\frac{1}{p+2}\right) a\|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}} + \left(\frac{m}{2n}-\frac{1}{p+2}\right) b\|u\|^{\frac{2n}{m}} + \int_\Omega \frac{\frac{1}{p+2}f(u)u - F(u)}{|x|^\alpha} - \lambda\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p+2}\right)\int_\Omega h|u|^q dx. \tag{3.7}$$

Using $F(s) \leq \frac{1}{p+2}f(s)s$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, Hölder's and Sobolev inequalities in (3.7), we obtain

$$J_\lambda(u) \geq \left(\frac{m}{n}-\frac{1}{p+2}\right) a\|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}} + \left(\frac{m}{2n}-\frac{1}{p+2}\right) b\|u\|^{\frac{2n}{m}} - \lambda\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p+2}\right) C_0\|u\|^q$$

for some constant $C_0 > 0$, which shows J_λ is coercive on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$ as $q < \frac{n}{m}$.
Again for $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ we also have

$$J_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{m}{2n}\int_\Omega \frac{f(u)u}{|x|^\alpha}\,dx - \int_\Omega \frac{F(u)}{|x|^\alpha}\,dx - \lambda\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{m}{2n}\right)\int_\Omega h(x)|u|^q\,dx.$$

If $\int_\Omega h(x)|u|^q\ dx < 0$, then $J_\lambda(u)$ is bounded below by 0. If $\int_\Omega h(x)|u|^q dx > 0$ then by using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\int_\Omega h(x)|u|^q\ dx \leq l^{\frac{k-1}{k}} \left(\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{qk}}{|x|^\alpha}\ dx\right)^{\frac{1}{k}},$$

where $l = \int_\Omega |h(x)|^{k/k-1}|x|^{\frac{\alpha}{k-1}}\ dx$. Also, It is easy to see that

$$\frac{m}{2n}f(u)u - F(u) \geq \left(\frac{m}{2n} - \frac{1}{p+2}\right)|u|^{p+2+\beta}. \tag{3.8}$$

From these inequalities, we obtain

$$J_\lambda(u) \geq \left(\frac{mp+2m-2n}{2n(p+2)}\right)\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{qk}}{|x|^\alpha}\ dx - \frac{\lambda(2n-mq)l^{\frac{k-1}{k}}}{2nq}\left(\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{qk}}{|x|^\alpha}\ dx\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}.$$

Consider the function $\rho(x) : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defines as

$$\rho(x) = \left(\frac{mp+2m-2n}{2n(p+2)}\right)x^k - \frac{\lambda(2n-mq)l^{\frac{k-1}{k}}}{2nq}x.$$

Then $\rho(x)$ attains its minimum at $\left(\frac{\lambda(2n-mq)(p+2)l^{\frac{k-1}{k}}}{kq(mp+2m-2n)}\right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}$. So,

$$\inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda} J_\lambda(u) \geq \rho\left(\frac{\lambda(2n-mq)(p+2)l^{\frac{k-1}{k}}}{kq(mp+2m-2n)}\right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}.$$

From this we obtain that

$$\theta_\lambda \geq -C(p,q,n,m)\lambda^{\frac{k}{k-1}},$$

where $C(p,q,n,m) = \left(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1}{k-1}}} - \frac{1}{k^{\frac{k}{k-1}}}\right)\frac{l(p+2)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}(2n-mq)^{\frac{k}{k-1}}}{2n(pm+2m-2n)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}q^{\frac{k}{k-1}}} > 0$. Hence J_λ is bounded below on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$. □

Lemma 3.10 *Let λ satisfy (3.1). Then given $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda \setminus \{0\}$, there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and a differentiable function $\xi : \boldsymbol{B}(0,\epsilon) \subset W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\xi(0) = 1$, the function $\xi(w)(u-w) \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$ and for all $w \in W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$*

$$\langle \xi'(0), w\rangle = \frac{\frac{n}{m}(a+2b\|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}})\int_\Omega |\nabla^m u|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u\nabla^m w - \int_\Omega \frac{(f(u)+f'(u)u)\,w}{|x|^\alpha}dx - \lambda q\int_\Omega h(x)|u|^{q-2}uwdx}{a\left(\frac{n}{m}-q\right)\|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}} + b\left(\frac{2n}{m}-q\right)\|u\|^{\frac{2n}{m}} - (1-q)\int_\Omega \frac{f(u)u}{|x|^\alpha} - \int_\Omega \frac{f'(u)u^2}{|x|^\alpha}dx}. \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. Fix $u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda \setminus \{0\}$, define a function $F_u : \mathbb{R} \times W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$F_u(t,v) = at^{\frac{n}{m}-q}\|u-v\|^{\frac{n}{m}} + bt^{\frac{2n}{m}-q}\|(u-v)\|^{\frac{2n}{m}} - t^{1-q}\int_\Omega \frac{f(t(u-v))(u-v)}{|x|^\alpha}dx - \lambda\int_\Omega h(x)|u-v|^q dx.$$

Then $F_u \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \times W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega);\mathbb{R})$, $F_u(1,0) = \langle J'_\lambda(u), u\rangle = 0$ and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}F_u(1,0) = a\left(\frac{n}{m}-q\right)\|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}} + b\left(\frac{2n}{m}-q\right)\|u\|^{\frac{2n}{m}} - (1-q)\int_\Omega \frac{f(u)u}{|x|^\alpha} - \int_\Omega \frac{f'(u)u^2}{|x|^\alpha}dx,$$

since $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^0 = \{0\}$. By the Implicit function theorem, there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and a differentiable function $\xi : \mathbf{B}(0,\epsilon) \subset W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\xi(0) = 1$ and $F_u(\xi(w), w) = 0$ for all $w \in \mathbf{B}(0,\epsilon)$ which is equivalent to $\langle J'_\lambda(\xi(w)(u-w)), \xi(w)(u-w)\rangle = 0$ for all $w \in \mathbf{B}(0,\epsilon)$. Hence $\xi(w)(u-w) \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$. Now differentiating $F_u(\xi(w),w) = 0$ with respect to w we obtain (3.9). □

Lemma 3.11 *There exists a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that $\theta_\lambda \leq -\left(\frac{p+2-q}{2nq(p+2)}\right)C_2$.*

Proof. Let v be such that $\int_\Omega h|v|^q\,dx > 0$. Then by the Lemma 3.6, we find $t_1 = t_1(v) > 0$ such that $t_1 v \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$. Thus

$$J_\lambda(t_1v) = \left(\frac{m}{n}-\frac{1}{q}\right)a\|t_1v\|^{\frac{n}{m}} + \left(\frac{m}{2n}-\frac{1}{q}\right)b\|t_1v\|^{\frac{2n}{m}} - \int_\Omega \frac{F(t_1v)}{|x|^\alpha}\,dx + \frac{1}{q}\int_\Omega \frac{f(t_1v)t_1v}{|x|^\alpha}\,dx$$
$$\leq \left(\frac{mq+2n-m}{2nq}\right)\int_\Omega \frac{f(t_1v)t_1v}{|x|^\alpha}\,dx - \int_\Omega \frac{F(t_1v)}{|x|^\alpha}\,dx - \frac{m}{2nq}\int_\Omega \frac{f'(t_1v)(t_1v)^2}{|x|^\alpha}\,dx, \qquad (3.10)$$

since $t_1v \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$. Now consider the function

$$\rho(s) = \left(\frac{mq+2n-m}{2nq}\right)f(s)s - F(s) - \frac{m}{2nq}f'(s)s^2.$$

Then

$$\rho'(s) = \left(\frac{mq+2n-3m}{2nq}\right)f'(s)s - \left(\frac{mq+2n-m-2nq}{2nq}\right)f(s) - \frac{m}{2nq}f''(s)s^2$$

Now it can be easily seen that $\rho' < 0$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Also it is easy to verify that

$$\lim_{s\to 0}\frac{\rho(s)}{|s|^{p+2}} = -\frac{(p+2-q)(mp+2m-2n)}{2nq(p+2)} \text{ and } \lim_{s\to\infty}\frac{\rho(s)}{|s|^{p+2+\beta}e^{|s|^\beta}} = -\frac{m\beta}{2nq}.$$

From these two estimates, we get that

$$\rho(s) \leq -\frac{p+2-q}{2nq(p+2)}\left(mp+2m-2n+m\beta|s|^\beta\right)|s|^{p+2}e^{|s|^\beta}. \qquad (3.11)$$

Therefore, using (3.10), (3.11) and $\frac{2n}{m} < p+2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(t_1 v) &\leq -\frac{(p+2-q)}{2nq(p+2)} \int_\Omega \left(mp + 2m - 2n + m\beta |t_1 v|^\beta \right) |t_1 v|^{p+2} \, \frac{e^{|t_1 v|^\beta}}{|x|^\alpha} \, dx \\ &\leq -\frac{m(p+2-q)}{2nq(p+2)} \int_\Omega \frac{|t_1 v|^{p+2+\beta}}{|x|^\alpha} \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\theta_\lambda \leq \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+} J_\lambda(u) \leq -\frac{m(p+2-q)}{2nq(p+2)} \, C_2$, where $C_2 = \int_\Omega \frac{|t_1 v|^{p+2+\beta}}{|x|^\alpha} dx$. □

Now from Lemma 3.9, J_λ is bounded below on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$. So, by Ekeland's Variational principle, we can find a sequence $\{u_k\} \subset \mathcal{N}_\lambda \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$J_\lambda(u_k) \leq \theta_\lambda + \frac{1}{k}, \tag{3.12}$$

$$J_\lambda(v) \geq J_\lambda(u_k) - \frac{1}{k}\|v - u_k\| \ \text{ for all } \ v \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda. \tag{3.13}$$

Now from (3.12) and Lemma 3.11, we have

$$J_\lambda(u_k) \leq -\frac{m(p+2-q)}{2nq(p+2)} \, C_2 + \frac{1}{k}. \tag{3.14}$$

As $u_k \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u_k) = &\left(\frac{m}{n} - \frac{1}{p+2} \right) a \|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}} + \left(\frac{m}{2n} - \frac{1}{p+2} \right) b \|u_k\|^{\frac{2n}{m}} - \lambda \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p+2} \right) \int_\Omega h |u_k|^q \, dx \\ &+ \int_\Omega \frac{\left(\frac{f(u_k)u_k}{p+2} - F(u_k) \right)}{|x|^\alpha} \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (3.14) and $\frac{1}{p+2} f(u_k)u_k - F(u_k) \geq 0$, we can get $C_3 = \frac{m}{2n\lambda} > 0$ such that

$$\int_\Omega h(x) |u_k|^q \, dx \geq C_3 > 0. \tag{3.15}$$

Now we prove the following:

Proposition 3.12 *Let λ satisfy (3.1). Then $\|J'_\lambda(u_k)\|_* \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$.*

Proof. Step 1: $\liminf_{k\to\infty} \|u_k\| > 0$.
Applying Hölders inequality in (3.15), we have $K'\|u_k\|^q \geq \int_\Omega h|u_k|^q \, dx \geq C_3 > 0$ which implies that $\liminf_{k\to\infty} \|u_k\| > 0$.
Step 2: We claim that

$$K := \liminf_{k\to\infty} \left\{ a \left(\frac{n}{m} - q \right) \|u\|^{\frac{n}{m}} + b \left(\frac{2n}{m} - q \right) \|u\|^{\frac{2n}{m}} - \int_\Omega \frac{(1-q)f(u)u - f'(u)u^2}{|x|^\alpha} dx \right\} > 0. \tag{3.16}$$

Assume by contradiction that for some subsequence of $\{u_k\}$, still denoted by $\{u_k\}$ we have

$$a\left(\frac{n}{m}-q\right)\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}+b\left(\frac{2n}{m}-q\right)\|u_k\|^{\frac{2n}{m}}-(1-q)\int_\Omega\frac{f(u_k)u_k}{|x|^\alpha}-\int_\Omega\frac{f'(u_k)u_k^2}{|x|^\alpha}dx=o_k(1),$$

where $o_k(1)\to 0$ as $k\to\infty$.
From this and the fact that the sequence $\{u_k\}$ is bounded away from 0, we obtain that $\liminf_{k\to\infty}\int_\Omega\frac{f'(u_k)u_k^2}{|x|^\alpha}\,dx>0$. Hence, we get $u_k\in\Lambda\setminus\{0\}$ for all k large. Using this and the fact that $u_k\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda\setminus\{0\}$, we have

$$o_k(1)=\lambda\left(\frac{2n}{m}-q\right)\int_\Omega h|u_k|^q\,dx-\int_\Omega\frac{\left(f'(u_k)u_k^2-(\frac{2n}{m}-1)f(u_k)u_k\right)}{|x|^\alpha}\,dx-a\frac{n}{m}\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}<-I_\lambda$$

by (3.1), which is a contradiction.
Finally, we show that $\|J'_\lambda(u_k)\|_*\to 0$ as $k\to\infty$. By Lemma 3.10, we obtain a sequence of functions $\xi_k:\mathbf{B}(0,\epsilon_k)\to\mathbb{R}$ for some $\epsilon_k>0$ such that $\xi_k(0)=1$ and $\xi_k(w)(u_k-w)\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda$ for all $w\in\mathbf{B}(0,\epsilon_k)$. Choose $0<\rho<\epsilon_k$ and $f\in W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$ such that $\|f\|=1$. Let $w_\rho=\rho f$. Then $\|w_\rho\|=\rho<\epsilon_k$ and $\eta_\rho=\xi_k(w_\rho)(u_k-w_\rho)\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda$ for all k. Since $\eta_\rho\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda$, we deduce from (3.13) and Taylor's expansion,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{k}\|\eta_\rho-u_k\|&\geq J_\lambda(u_k)-J_\lambda(\eta_\rho)=\langle J'_\lambda(\eta_\rho),u_k-\eta_\rho\rangle+o(\|u_k-\eta_\rho\|)\\&=(1-\xi_k(w_\rho))\langle J'_\lambda(\eta_\rho),u_k\rangle+\rho\xi_k(w_\rho)\langle J'_\lambda(\eta_\rho),f\rangle+o(\|u_k-\eta_\rho\|).\end{aligned}\tag{3.17}$$

We note that as $\rho\to 0$, $\frac{1}{\rho}\|\eta_\rho-u_k\|=\|u_k\langle\xi'_k(0),f\rangle-f\|$. Now dividing (3.17) by ρ and taking limit $\rho\to 0$, by Lemma 3.10 and (3.16), we get

$$\langle J'_\lambda(u_k),f\rangle\leq\frac{1}{k}\left(\|u_k\|\|\xi'_k(0)\|_*+1\right)\leq\frac{1}{k}\frac{C_4\|f\|}{K},$$

This completes the proof of Proposition. □

3.2 Existence of a local minimum of J_λ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$, $\lambda\in(0,\lambda_0)$

Lemma 3.13 *Let $\beta<\frac{n}{n-m}$ and let λ satisfy (3.1). Then there exists a function $u_\lambda\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ such that $J_\lambda(u_\lambda)=\inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda\setminus\{0\}}J_\lambda(u)$.*

Proof. Let $\{u_k\}$ be a minimizing sequence for J_λ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda\setminus\{0\}$ satisfying (3.12) and (3.13). Then $\{u_k\}$ is bounded in $W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$. Also there exists a subsequence of $\{u_k\}$ (still denoted by $\{u_k\}$) and a function u_λ such that $u_k\rightharpoonup u_\lambda$ weakly in $W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$, $u_k\to u_\lambda$ strongly in $L^\alpha(\Omega)$ for all $\alpha\geq 1$ and $u_k(x)\to u_\lambda(x)$ a.e in Ω. Also $\int_\Omega h|u_k|^q dx\to\int_\Omega h|u_\lambda|^q dx$ and by the compactness of Moser-Trudinger imbedding for $\beta<\frac{n}{n-m}$, $\int_\Omega\frac{f(u_k)(u_k-u_\lambda)}{|x|^\alpha}dx\to 0$ as $k\to\infty$. Then by Lemma 3.12, we have $\langle J'_\lambda(u_k),(u_k-u_\lambda)\rangle\to 0$. We conclude that

$$M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}})\int_\Omega|\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k(\nabla^m u_k-\nabla^m u_\lambda)\to 0.$$

On the other hand, using $u_k \rightharpoonup u_0$ weakly and boundedness of $M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}})$,

$$M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) \int_\Omega |\nabla^m u_\lambda|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_\lambda(\nabla^m u_k - \nabla^m u_\lambda) \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$

From above two equations and inequality

$$|a-b|^l \leq 2^{l-2}(|a|^{l-2}a - |b|^{l-2}b)(a-b) \text{ for all } a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } l \geq 2,$$

we have

$$M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) \int_\Omega |\nabla^m u_k - \nabla^m u_\lambda|^{\frac{n}{m}} \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$

Since $M(t) \geq M_0$, we obtain $u_k \to u$ strongly in $W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$ and hence $\|u_k\| \to \|u_0\|$ strongly as $k \to \infty$. In particular, it follows that u_λ solves $(\mathcal{P}_\lambda)$ and hence $u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$. Moreover, $\theta_\lambda \leq J_\lambda(u_\lambda) \leq \liminf_{k\to\infty} J_\lambda(u_k) = \theta_\lambda$. Hence u_λ is a minimizer for J_λ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda$.
Using (3.15), we have $\int_\Omega h|u_\lambda|^q > 0$. Therefore there exists $t_1(u_\lambda)$ such that $t_1(u_\lambda)u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$. We now claim that $t_1(u_\lambda) = 1$ (*i.e.* $u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$). Suppose $t_1(u_\lambda) < 1$. Then $t_2(u_\lambda) = 1$ and hence $u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$. Now $J_\lambda(t_1(u_\lambda)u_\lambda) \leq J_\lambda(u_\lambda) = \theta_\lambda$ which is impossible, as $t_1(u_\lambda)u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$. □

Theorem 3.14 *Let $\beta < \frac{n}{n-m}$ and let λ be such that (3.1) holds. Then $u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ is also a non-negative local minimum for J_λ in $W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Since $u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$, we have $t_1(u_\lambda) = 1 < t_*(u_\lambda)$. Hence by continuity of $u \mapsto t_*(u)$, given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that $1 + \epsilon < t_*(u_\lambda - w)$ for all $\|w\| < \delta$. Also, from Lemma 3.11 we have, for $\delta > 0$ small enough, we obtain a C^1 map $t : \mathbf{B}(0,\delta) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $t(w)(u_\lambda - w) \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$, $t(0) = 1$. Therefore, for $\delta > 0$ small enough we have $t_1(u_\lambda - w) = t(w) < 1 + \epsilon < t_*(u_\lambda - w)$ for all $\|w\| < \delta$. Since $t_*(u_\lambda - w) > 1$, we obtain $J_\lambda(u_\lambda) < J_\lambda(t_1(u_\lambda - w)(u_\lambda - w)) < J_\lambda(u_\lambda - w)$ for all $\|w\| < \delta$. This shows that u_λ is a local minimizer for J_λ.
Now we show that u_λ is a non-negative local minimum for J_λ on $W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$. If $u_\lambda \geq 0$ then we are done, otherwise, if $u_\lambda \ngeq 0$ then we take $\tilde{u_\lambda} = t_1(|u_\lambda|)|u_\lambda|$ which is non negative function in $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$. As $\psi_{u_\lambda}(t) = \psi_{|u_\lambda|}(t)$ so $t_*(|u_\lambda|) = t_*(u_\lambda)$ and $t_1(u_\lambda) \leq t_1(|u_\lambda|)$. Hence $t_1(|u_\lambda|) \geq 1$. Then from Lemma 3.6 we have $J_\lambda(\tilde{u_\lambda}) \leq J_\lambda(|u_\lambda|) \leq J_\lambda(u_\lambda)$. Hence $\tilde{u_\lambda}$ minimize J_λ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda \setminus \{0\}$. Thus we can proceed same as earlier to show that $\tilde{u_\lambda}$ is a local minimum for J_λ on $W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$.
□

Lemma 3.15 *Let $\beta < \frac{n}{n-m}$ and let λ be such that* (3.1) *holds. Then J_λ achieve its minimizers on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$.*

Proof. We note that $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ is a closed set, as $t^-(u)$ is a continuous function of u and J_λ is bounded below on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$. Therefore, by Ekeland's Variational principle, we can find a sequence $\{v_k\} \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$ such that

$$J_\lambda(v_k) \leq \inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(u) + \frac{1}{k}, \;\; J_\lambda(v) \geq J_\lambda(v_k) - \frac{1}{k}\|v - v_k\| \text{ for all } v \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-.$$

Then $\{v_k\}$ is a bounded sequence in $W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$ and is easy to see that $v_k \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}$. Thus by following the proof of Lemma 3.12, we get $\|J'_\lambda(v_k)\|_* \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. Thus following the proof as in Lemma 3.13, we have $v_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^-$, weak limit of sequence $\{v_k\}$, is a solution of $(\mathcal{P}_\lambda)$. And moreover $v_\lambda \not\equiv 0$, as $\mathcal{N}_\lambda^0 = \{0\}$. □

Proof of Theorem 3.2: Now the proof follows from Lemmas 3.13 and 3.15. □

To obtain the existence result in the critical case, we need the following compactness Lemma.

Lemma 3.16 *Suppose $\{u_k\}$ be a sequence in $W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$ such that*

$$J'_\lambda(u_k) \to 0 \;\; J_\lambda(u_k) \to c < \frac{m}{2n} M_0 \beta_\alpha^{\frac{n-m}{m}} - C\lambda^{\frac{p+2+\beta}{p+2-q+\beta}},$$

where C is a positive constant depending on p, q, m and n. Then there exists a strongly convergent subsequence.

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, there exists a subsequence of $\{u_k\}$, (say $\{u_k\}$) such that $u_k \to u$ in $L^\alpha(\Omega)$ for all α, $u_k(x) \to u(x)$ a.e. in Ω, $\nabla^m u_k(x) \to \nabla^m u(x)$ a.e. in Ω. As $\{u_k\}$ is a Palais-Smale sequence, $|\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k$ is bounded in $L^{\frac{n}{n-m}}$ and $\frac{f(u_k)u_k}{|x|^\alpha}$ is bounded $L^1(\Omega)$. So by concentration compactness lemma, $|\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}} \to \mu_1$, $\frac{f(u_k)u_k}{|x|^\alpha} \to \mu_2$ in measure.

Let $B = \{x \in \overline{\Omega} : \; \exists\, r = r(x),\; \mu_1(\mathbf{B}_r \cap \Omega) < \beta_\alpha^{\frac{n-m}{n}}\}$ and let $A = \overline{\Omega}\backslash B$. Then as in Lemma 2.8, we can show that A is finite set say $\{x_1, x_2, ...x_m\}$. Since $J'_\lambda(u_k) \to 0$, we have

$$0 = \lim_{k\to\infty} \langle J'_\lambda(u_k), \phi\rangle = \lim_{k\to\infty} M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) \int_\Omega |\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k \nabla^m \phi - \lambda \int_\Omega h|u_k|^{q-2}u_k\phi dx - \int_\Omega \frac{f(u_k)}{|x|^\alpha}\phi dx$$

$$0 = \lim_{k\to\infty} \langle J'_\lambda(u_k), u_k\phi\rangle = \lim_{k\to\infty} M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) \left(\sum_{l=1}^m \int_\Omega |\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k \nabla^l \phi \nabla^{m-l} u_k + \int_\Omega |\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}}\phi\right) - \lambda \int_\Omega h|u_k|^q\phi - \int_\Omega \frac{f(u_k)u_k}{|x|^\alpha}\phi dx \tag{3.18}$$

$$0 = \lim_{k\to\infty} \langle J'_\lambda(u_k), u\phi\rangle = \lim_{k\to\infty} M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) \left(\sum_{l=1}^m \int_\Omega |\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k \nabla^{m-l} u \nabla^l \phi\right) + \lim_{k\to\infty} M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k \nabla^m u\phi\right) - \lambda \int_\Omega h|u_k|^{q-2}u_k u\phi - \int_\Omega \frac{f(u_k)u}{|x|^\alpha}\phi \tag{3.19}$$

Using (2.21), (3.19) in (3.18) , we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_\Omega \frac{f(u_k)u_k}{|x|^\alpha}\phi = \lim_{k\to\infty} M(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) \int_\Omega (|\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}} - |\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k \nabla^m u)\phi + \int_\Omega \frac{f(u)u}{|x|^\alpha}\phi \tag{3.20}$$

Now take cut-off function $\psi_\delta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\psi_\delta(x) \equiv 1$ in $\mathbf{B}_\delta(x_j)$, and $\psi_\delta(x) \equiv 0$ in $\mathbf{B}_{2\delta}^c(x_j)$ with $|\psi_\delta| \le 1$. Then taking $\phi = \psi_\delta$,

$$0 \le \left|\int_\Omega |\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}-2}\nabla^m u_k \nabla^m u\phi\right| \le \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla^m u_k|^{\frac{n}{m}}\right)^{(n-m)/n} \left(\int_{\mathbf{B}_{2\delta}} |\nabla^m u|^{\frac{n}{m}}\right)^{m/n} \to 0 \;\text{ as }\; \delta \to 0.$$

Hence from (3.20), we get

$$\int_\Omega \phi d\mu_2 \geq M_0 \int_\Omega \phi d\mu_1 + \int_\Omega \frac{f(u)u}{|x|^\alpha}\phi \text{ as } \delta \to 0. \tag{3.21}$$

Now as in Assertion 1 of Lemma 2.8, we can show that for any relatively compact set $K \subset \Omega_\epsilon$, where $\Omega_\epsilon = \Omega \setminus \cup_{i=1}^m \mathbf{B}_\delta(x_i)$

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_K \frac{f(u_k)u_k}{|x|^\alpha} \to \int_K \frac{f(u)u}{|x|^\alpha}.$$

Also taking $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$ and $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\phi \equiv 1$ in $\mathbf{B}_{1/2}(0)$ and $\phi \equiv 0$ in $\bar{\Omega} \setminus \mathbf{B}_1(0)$. Take $\psi_\epsilon = \sum_{j=1}^r \phi\left(\frac{x - x_j}{\epsilon}\right)$ in (3.21). Then $0 \leq \psi_\epsilon \leq 1$, $\psi_\epsilon \equiv 0$ in $\bar{\Omega}_\epsilon = \bar{\Omega} \setminus \cup_{j=1}^r \mathbf{B}_\epsilon(x_j)$,$\psi_\epsilon \equiv 1$ in $\cup_{j=1}^r \mathbf{B}_{\epsilon/2}(x_j)$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega \psi_\epsilon d\mu_2 &= \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\left(\int_{\Omega_\epsilon} \psi_\epsilon d\mu_2 + \sum_{i=1}^r \int_{\mathbf{B}_\epsilon \cap \Omega} \psi_\epsilon d\mu_2\right) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} \frac{f(u)u}{|x|^\alpha}\psi_\epsilon + \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i \delta_{x_i} \\ &= \int_\Omega \frac{f(u)u}{|x|^\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i \delta_{x_i}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (3.21), we get

$$M_0 \int_\Omega \psi_\epsilon d\mu_1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i \delta_{x_i}.$$

Now choosing $\epsilon \to 0$, we get

$$M_0 \mu_1(A) \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i.$$

Therefore from the definition of A, either $\beta_i = 0$ or $\beta_i \geq M_0 \beta_\alpha^{\frac{n-m}{m}}$. Now we will show that $\beta_i = 0$, for all i. Suppose not, Now using $J_\lambda(u_k) \to c$ and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} c =& J_\lambda(u_k) - \frac{m}{2n}\langle J'_\lambda(u_k), u_k\rangle \\ =& \left(\widehat{M}(\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}) - \frac{m}{2n} M(\|u_k\|^n)\|u_k\|^{\frac{n}{m}}\right) + \int_\Omega \frac{\left(\frac{m}{2n} f(u_k)u_k - F(u_k)\right)}{|x|^\alpha} \\ &+ \lambda\left(\frac{m}{2n} - \frac{1}{q}\right)\int_\Omega h|u|^q dx \\ \geq& \frac{M_0 \beta_\alpha^{\frac{n-m}{m}}}{2n} + \int_\Omega \frac{\left(\frac{m}{2n} f(u)u - F(u)\right)}{|x|^\alpha} dx + \lambda\left(\frac{m}{2n} - \frac{1}{q}\right)\int_\Omega h|u|^q dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} c \geq& \frac{m}{2n} M_0 \beta_\alpha^{\frac{n-m}{m}} + \left(\frac{m}{2n} - \frac{1}{p+2}\right)\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{p+2+\beta}}{|x|^\alpha} dx + \lambda\left(\frac{m}{2n} - \frac{1}{q}\right)\int_\Omega h|u|^q dx \\ \geq& \frac{m}{2n} M_0 \beta_\alpha^{\frac{n-m}{m}} + \left(\frac{m}{2n} - \frac{1}{p+2}\right)\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{qk}}{|x|^\alpha} dx + \lambda\left(\frac{m}{2n} - \frac{1}{q}\right) l^{\frac{k-1}{k}} \left(\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{qk}}{|x|^\alpha} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $k = \frac{p+2+\beta}{q}$. Now as in Theorem 3.9, consider the global minimum of the function $\rho(y) : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defines as

$$\rho(y) = \left(\frac{mp + 2m - 2n}{2n(p+2)}\right) y^k - \frac{\lambda(2n - mq)l^{\frac{k-1}{k}}}{2nq} y.$$

Then $\rho(y)$ attains its minimum at $\left(\frac{\lambda(2n-mq)(p+2)l^{\frac{k-1}{k}}}{kq(mp+2m-2n)}\right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}$. So, and its minimum value is $-C(p,q,n,m)\lambda^{\frac{k}{k-1}}$, where $C(p,q,n,m) = \left(\frac{1}{k^{\frac{1}{k-1}}} - \frac{1}{k^{\frac{k}{k-1}}}\right) \frac{l(p+2)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}(2n-mq)^{\frac{k}{k-1}}}{n(pm+2m-2n)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}(q)^{\frac{k}{k-1}}} > 0$. Therefore, $c \geq \frac{m}{2n} M_0 \beta_\alpha^{\frac{n-m}{m}} - C(p,q,n,m)\lambda^{\frac{p+2+\beta}{p+2-q+\beta}}$. □

Let $\lambda_{00} = \max\{\lambda : \ \theta_\lambda \leq \frac{m}{2n} M_0 \beta_\alpha^{\frac{n-m}{m}} - C\lambda^{\frac{p+2+\beta}{p+2-q+\beta}}\}$ where $C = C(p,q,n,m)$ is as in the above Lemma.

Proof of Theorem 3.3: Let $\{u_k\}$ be a minimizing sequence for J_λ on $\mathcal{N}_\lambda \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying (3.13) and $\lambda^0 = \min\{\lambda_0, \lambda_{00}\}$. Then it is easy to see that $\{u_k\}$ is a bounded sequence in $W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$. Also there exists a subsequence of $\{u_k\}$ (still denoted by $\{u_k\}$) and a function u_λ such that $u_k \rightharpoonup u_\lambda$ weakly in $W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$, $u_k \to u_\lambda$ strongly in $L^\alpha(\Omega)$ for all $\alpha \geq 1$ and $u_k(x) \to u_\lambda(x)$ a.e in Ω. Then by Lemma 3.12, we have $\langle J'_\lambda(u_k), (u_k - u_\lambda)\rangle \to 0$.
Now by compactness Lemma 3.16, $u_k \to u_\lambda$ strongly in $W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$ and hence $\|u_k\| \to \|u_\lambda\|$ strongly as $k \to \infty$. In particular, it follows that u_λ solves $(\mathcal{P}_\lambda)$ and hence $u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda$. Also we can show similarly as in Lemma 3.13 and Theorem 3.14 that $u_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_\lambda^+$ is a non-negative local minimizer of J_λ in $W_0^{m,\frac{n}{m}}(\Omega)$.
□

References

[1] D. R. Adams, *A Sharp inequality of J. Moser for higher order derivatives*, Annals of Mathematics, 128 (2) (1988), 385-398.

[2] Adimurthi, *Existence of positive solutions of the semilinear Dirichlet problem with critical growth for the n-Laplacian*, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa. Classe di Scienze, 17 (1990), 393-413.

[3] Adimurthi and K. Sandeep, *A singular Moser-Trudinger embedding and its applications,* NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications, 13 (2007), 585-603.

[4] Adimurthi and Y. Yang, *An interpolation of Hardy inequality and Trundinger-Moser inequality in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and its applications*, International Mathematics Research Notices. IMRN, 13 (2010), 2394–2426.

[5] C. O. Alves, F. Correa and G. M. Figueiredo, *On a class of nonlocal elliptic problmes with critical growth,* Differential equations and applications, 2 (3) (2010), 409-417.

[6] C. O. Alves and A. El Hamidi, *Nehari manifold and existence of positive solutions to a class of quasilinear problem*, Nonlinear Analysis, Theory Methods and Applications, 60 (4) (2005), 611-624.

[7] A. Ambrosetti, H. Brezis and G. Cerami, *Combined effects of concave and convex nonlinearities in some elliptic problems*, Journal of Functional Analysis, 122 (2) (1994), 519-543.

[8] G. Autuori, F. Colasuonno and Patrizia Pucci, *On the existence of stationary solutions for higher-order p-Kirchhoff problems*, Communications in Contemporary Mathematics, 16 (5) (2014), 1450002 (43 pages).

[9] K. J. Brown and Y. Zhang, *The Nehari manifold for a semilinear elliptic problem with a sign-changing weight function*, Journal of Differential Equations, 193 (2003), 481-499.

[10] C. Chen, Y. Kuo and T. Wu, *The Nehari manifold for a Kirchhoff type problem involving sign-changing weight functions,* Journal of Differential Equations, 250 (4) (2011), 1876-1908.

[11] F. Colasuonno, P. Pucci and C. Varga, *Multiple solutions for an eigenvalue problem involving p-Laplacian type operators*, Nonlinear Analysis, Theory Methods and Applications, 75 (2012), 4496–4512.

[12] F. J. S. A. Corrêa and G. M. Figueiredo, *On an elliptic equation of p-Kirchhoff-type via variational methods,* Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society, 77 (2006), 263-277.

[13] F. J. S. A. Corrêa, *On positive solutions of nonlocal and nonvariational elliptic problems*, Nonlinear Analysis, Theory Methods and Applications, 59 (2004), 1147-1155.

[14] P. Drabek and S. I. Pohozaev, *Positive solutions for the p-Laplacian: application of the fibering method*, Proceedings of Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A, 127 (1997), 703-726.

[15] D. G. de Figueiredo, O. H. Miyagaki, and B. Ruf, *Elliptic equations in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with nonlinearities in the critical growth range,* Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations, 3 (2) (1995), 139-153.

[16] G. M. Figueiredo, *Ground state soluttion for a Kirchhoff problem with exponential critical growth*, arXiv:1305.2571v1[math.AP].

[17] F. Gazzola, *Critical growth problems for polyharmonic operators*, Procedings of royal Society of edinberg Section A, 128A (1998), 251–263.

[18] Y. Ge, J. Wei and F. Zhou, *A critical elliptic problem for polyharmonic operator*, Journal of Functional Analysis, 260 (8) (2011), 2247–2282.

[19] Sarika Goyal, Pawan Mishra and K. Sreenadh, *n-Kirchhoff type equations with exponential nonlinearities*, Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Fsicas y Naturales. Serie A. Mathemticas, DOI:10.1007/s13398-015-0230-x.

[20] Sarika Goyal and K. Sreenadh, *Existence of nontrivial solutions to quasilinear polyharmonic Kirchhoff equations with critical exponential growth*, Advances in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 6 (1) (2015), 1–11.

[21] Sarika Goyal and K. Sreenadh, *The Nehari manifold for a quasilinear polyharmonic equation with exponential nonlinearities and a sign-changing weight function*, Advances in Nonlinear Analysis, 4 (3) (2015), 177-200

[22] H. C. Grunau, *Positive solutions to semilinear polyharmonic Dirichlet problems involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Calculas of Variations, 3 (1995), 243-252.

[23] O. Lakkis, *Existence of solutions for a class of semilinear polyharmonic equations with critical exponential growth*, Advances in Differential Equations, 4 (6) (1999), 877-906.

[24] N. Lam and G. Lu, *Existence of nontrivial solutions to polyharmonic equtions with subcritical and critical exponential growth*, Discrete and Continous Dynamical Systems 32 (6) (2012), 2187-2205.

[25] N. Lam and G. Lu, *Existence and multiplicity of solutions to equations of n-Laplacian type with critical exponential growth in $\mathbb{R}^n$*, Journal of functional Analysis, 262 (2012), 1132-1165.

[26] N. Lam and G. Lu, *Sharp singular Adams inequality in higher order sobolev spaces*, Methods and Applications of Analysis, 19 (3) (2012), 243–266.

[27] P. L. Lions, *The concentration compactness principle in the calculus of variations part-I*, Revista Matematica Iberoamericana, 1 (1985), 185-201.

[28] J. Marcos do Ó, E. Medeiros and U. Severo, *On a quasilinear nonhomogeneous elliptic equation with critical growth in $\mathbb{R}^n$*, Journal of Differential Equations, 246 (2009), 1363-1386.

[29] J. Marcus do Ó, *Semilinear Dirichlet problems for the N-Laplacian in Ω with nonlinearities in critical growth range*, Differential Integral Equations, 9 (1996), 967-979.

[30] J. Moser, *A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger*, Indiana University Mathematics Journal, 20 (1971), 1077-1092.

[31] R. Panda, *Solution of a semilinear elliptic equation with critical growth in $\mathbb{R}^2$*, Nonlinear Analysis, Theory Methods and Applications, 28 (4) (1997), 721-728.

[32] S. Prashanth and K. Sreenadh, *Multiplicity of Solutions to a Nonhomogeneous elliptic equation in $\mathbb{R}^2$*, Differential and Integral Equations, 18 (2005), 681-698.

[33] P. Pucci and J. Serrin, *Critical exponents and critical dimensions for polyharmonic operators*, Journal de Mathmatiques Pures et Appliques, 69 (1) (1990), 55–83.

[34] G. Tarantello, *On nonhomogeneous elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent*, Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincar. Analyse Non Linaire, 9 (1992), 281-304.

[35] T. F. Wu, *On semilinear elliptic equations involving concave-convex nonlinearities and sign-changing weight function*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 318 (2006), 253-270.

[36] T. F. Wu, *Multiple positive solutions for a class of concave-convex elliptic problems in Ω involving sign-changing weight*, Journal of Functional Analysis, 258 (1) (2010), 99-131.

[37] X. Zheng and Y. Deng, *Existence of multiple solutions for a semilinear biharmonic equation with critical exponent*, Acta Mathematica Scientia, 20 (2000), 547-554.